

Guidelines

Version 6 - October 2011



The European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) is a not-forprofit group of European physicians, clinicians and researchers in the field of HIV/AIDS. It aims to bring together scientists from all over Europe to help exchange the latest medical and scientific knowledge regarding clinical aspects of HIV/AIDS and its complications.

Executive Committee Members

Peter Reiss (President) Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Manuel Battegay (Vice President)

Nathan Clumeck (Treasurer)

Brussels, Belgium

Fiona Mulcahy (Secretary)

Dublin, Ireland

José Arribas Madrid, *Spain*Antonella d'Arminio Monforte Milan, *Italy*

José Gatell Barcelona, Spain

Anna Maria Geretti London, *United Kingdom*

Christine Katlama Paris, France

Jens D. LundgrenCopenhagen, DenmarkAnton PozniakLondon, United Kingdom

Jürgen Rockstroh Bonn, Germany

Mike Youle London, *United Kingdom*

Table of Contents

• Executive Committee Members2	Management of HIV-positive patients with cirrhosis40
■ Panel Members4	- Antiretroviral dosing recommendations in patients with hepatic
• Abbreviations used throughout this document5	insufficiency (Appendix)
Part I Assessment of HIV-infected patients at initial	 Diagnosis and management of hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) (Appendix)
and subsequent visits6	Lipodystrophy: prevention and management
	• Travel
Part II ARV treatment of HIV-infected patients10	■ Vaccination
• Assessing patients' readiness to start ART10	- Antimalarial drugs & cART (Appendix)
 Comments on the table "Assessing patients" 	Hyperlactataemia: diagnosis, prevention and management45
readiness to start ART"11	- Management of hyperlactataemia and management of lactic
Recommendations for initiation of ART in HIV- Recommendation in HIV-	acidosis (Appendix)
positive persons without prior ART exposure	 Assessment of sexual dysfunction in people living with HIV46 Treatment of sexual dysfunction in men living with HIV47
Initial combination regimen for antiretroviral-naive adult patients13	Neurocognitive impairment: diagnosis and management48
Acute HIV infection	- IADL (Instrumental Activities of Daily Living) scale (Appendix)
Switch strategies for virologically suppressed patients	
Virological failure	Part IV Clinical management and treatment of
• Treatment of HIV pregnant women17	chronic hepatitis B and C coinfection in
• ART in TB/HIV coinfection	HIV-infected adults50
Post-exposure prophylaxis	 General recommendations in patients with HIV and
Antiretroviral drugs & drug classes: frequent/severe	hepatitis coinfection50
side effects20	Assessment of treatment indication for HBV infection INV positive individuals.
 Drug-drug interactions between HIV drugs and 	in HIV-positive individuals
non-HIV drugs22	Treatment of chronic HBV infection in HIV-positive individuals
- Interactions between antidepressants and antiretroviral agents	Treatment recommendations for therapy of hepatitis
(Appendix)	C in HIV coinfection
 Antiretroviral dosing recommendations in patients with hepatic insufficiency (Appendix) 	Diagnostic procedures for hepatitis C in HIV coinfection56
Dose adjustment of antiretrovirals for impaired renal function	 Proposed optimal duration of HCV therapy in
(Appendix)	HCV/HIV coinfected patients57
	Algorithm for management of acute HCV in
Part III Prevention and management of non-	HIV-infected individuals
infectious co-morbidities in HIV24	• Definitions of treatment response on PegIFN and ribavirin59
HIV-specific issues to be considered in managing "non-infectious" co-morbidities24	 Classification of and interventions for HCV/HIV- coinfected non-responders/relapsers to prior
- Drug dependency and drug addiction (Appendix)	interferon-based therapies60
• Cancer - screening methods25	Appendix
- Lifestyle interventions (Appendix)	• •
• Prevention of CVD26	Lifestyle interventions Interactions between antidepressants and
• Hypertension: diagnosis and management27	antiretroviral agents
• Type 2 diabetes: diagnosis and management29	 Dose adjustment of antiretrovirals for impaired renal
• Interventions for treatment of diabetes30	functionII
Dyslipidaemia: management31	 Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy (PRT)\
• Depression: diagnosis and management32	Antiretroviral dosing recommendations in patients with heapting incufficiency.
Classification, doses, safety and side effects of	with hepatic insufficiencyV • Diagnosis and management of hepatorenal
antidepressants	syndrome (HRS)VII
- Interactions between antidepressants and antiretroviral agents (Appendix)	Antimalarial drugs & cART
Bone disease: diagnosis, prevention and management	■ Drug dependency and drug addiction
Vitamin D deficiency: diagnosis and management	 Management of hyperlactataemia and management
Kidney disease: diagnosis	of lactic acidosisX
ART: Drug-associated nephrotoxicity	IADL (Instrumental Activities of Daily Living) scale
Dose adjustment of antiretrovirals for impaired renal function	Management of HIV patients with liver cirrhosisXI\ Deformance
(Appendix)	References XV Conflicts of interest XVI
 Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy (PRT) (Appendix) 	- Cormicis of InterestXVI
Work-up and management of the HIV patient with	
increased ALT/AST39	

Panel Members

HIV Treatment

Chair: Nathan Clumeck

Antonella d'Arminio Monforte

José Arribas Manuel Battegay Nikos Dedes José Gatell Anna Maria Geretti

Christine Katlama

Jens D. Lundgren Anton Pozniak

François Raffi

Brussels, Belgium

Milan, Italy Madrid, Spain Basel, Switzerland Athens, Greece Barcelona, Spain

London, United Kingdom

Paris, France

Copenhagen, Denmark London, United Kingdom

Nantes, France

Co-morbidities

Chair: Jens D. Lundgren

Manuel Battegay Georg Behrens Mark Bower Paola Cinque

Simon Collins Juliet Compston Gilbert Deray

Stéphane De Wit Christoph A. Fux Giovanni Guaraldi Patrick Mallon Esteban Martinez

Socrates Papapoulos Renaud du Pasquier **Neil Poulter**

Peter Reiss Alessandra Vigano

Ian Williams Alan Winston Copenhagen, Denmark

Basel, Switzerland Hannover, Germany London, United Kingdom

Milan, Italy

London, United Kingdom Cambridge, United Kingdom

Paris, France Brussels, Belgium Bern, Switzerland Modena, Italy Dublin. Ireland Barcelona, Spain

Leiden, The Netherlands Lausanne, Switzerland London, United Kingdom Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Milan, Italy

London, United Kingdom London, United Kingdom

Coinfections

Chair: Jürgen Rockstroh

Bonn, Germany

Sanjay Bhagani London, United Kingdom

Raffaele Bruno Pavia, Italy Diego García Alicante, Spain Maxime Journiac Paris, France Karine Lacombe Paris, France

Stefan Mauss Dusseldorf, Germany Lars Peters Copenhagen, Denmark

Massimo Puoti Brescia. Italv Vicente Soriano Madrid, Spain Cristina Tural Barcelona, Spain

Acknowledgements: the EACS guidelines panels received helpful comments and suggestions from the following: T Brown, D Burger and C Marzolini

Abbreviations used throughout this document

ARV ABBREVIATIONS

- 3TC=lamivudine
- ABC=abacavir
- ATV=atazanavir
- d4T=stavudine
- ddl=didanosine
- DRV=darunavir
- EFV=efavirenz
- ENF=enfuvirtide
- ETV=etravirine
- FDC=fixed dose combination
- FPV=fosamprenavir
- · FTC=emtricitabine
- IDV=indinavir
- LPV=lopinavir
- MVC=maraviroc
- NFV=nelfinavir
- NRTI=nucleos(t)ide reverse transcriptase inhibitors
- NNRTI=non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors
- NVP=nevirapine
- · PI=protease inhibitors
- PI/r=protease inhibitors pharmacologically boosted with ritonavir
- · RAL=raltegravir
- RTV=ritonavir (used as booster= /r)
- · SQV=saquinavir
- · TDF=tenofovir
- TPV=tipranavir
- · ZDV=zidovudine

OTHER ABBREVIATIONS

- ACE=angiotensin converting enzyme
- · ALP=alkaline phosphatase
- ALT=alanine aminotransferase
- aMDRD=abbreviated modification of diet in renal disease formula
- ART=antiretroviral therapy
- AST=aspartate aminotransferase
- · BMD=bone mineral density
- BMI=body mass index
- CKD=chronic kidney disease
- CMV=cytomegalovirus
- CNS=central nervous system
- COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- CSF=cerebrospinal fluid
- · CVD=cardiovascular disease
- CXR=chest X-ray
- DXA=dual energy X-ray absorptiometry
- ECG=electrocardiogram
- · eGFR=estimated glomerular filtration rate
- FBC=full blood count
- · FRAX=fracture risk assessment tool
- HBV=hepatitis B virus
- · HCV=hepatitis C virus
- HDL-c=HDL-cholesterol
- HIVAN=HIV-associated nephropathy
- HPV=human papillomavirus
- HSR=hypersensivity reaction
- · IGRA=interferon-gamma release assay
- · IHD=ischaemic heart disease
- IV=intravenous
- LDL-c=LDL-cholesterol
- · LGV=lymphogranuloma venereum
- Mg=magnesium
- MSM=men who have sex with men
- PPD=purified protein derivative
- PSA=prostate specific antigen
- PTH=parathyroid hormone
- RBV=ribavirin
- · STI=sexually transmitted infection
- TC=total cholesterol
- · TDM=therapeutic drug monitoring
- TG=triglycerides
- UA/C=urine albumin/creatinine ratio
- UP/C=urine protein/creatinine ratio
- VL=viral load
- WB=western blot
- Zn=zinc

Part | Assessment of HIV-infected patients at initial and subsequent visits

	Assessment	At HIV diagnosis	Prior to starting cART	Follow-up frequency	Comment	See page
HISTORY						
	Complete medical history including	+	+		On transfer of care repeat assessment	
	 Family history (e.g. premature CVD, diabetes, hypertension, CKD) 	+			Premature CVD: Cardiovascular events in a first degree relative: male < 55, female < 65 years	<u>26</u>
Medical	• Concomitant medications (1)	+	+	Every visit		22
	 Past and current co-morbidities 	+	+		Consider CXR if prior history of pulmonary disease	
	Vaccination history	+			Measure antibody titres and offer vaccinations where indicated	44
	 Current lifestyle (alcohol use, smoking, diet, aerobic exercise, drug use) 	+	+	6-12 m	Adverse lifestyle habits should be addressed more frequently	Appendix: Lifestyle interventions
Psychosocial	• Employment	+	+			
	 Social and welfare 	+	+	As indicated	Provide advice and support if needed	
	 Psychological morbidity 	+	+	Every visit	Provide counselling if needed	
	 Partner and children 	+			Test partner and children if at risk	
	Sexual history	+		6-12 m	Address issues concerning sexual dysfunction	46
Sexual and reproductive	• Safer sex	+		As indicated	Risk of sexual transmission should be addressed where indicated	
health	 Partner status and disclosure 	+		As indicated		
	 Conception issues 	+	+	As indicated		
HIV DISEASE						
	 Confirmation of HIV Ab +ve test 	+				
	• Plasma HIV RNA	+	+	3-6 m	More frequent monitoring of HIV RNA at start of ART	
Virology	Genotypic resistance test and sub-type	+	+	At virological failure	Perform genotypic resistance test before starting ART if not previously tested or if at risk of super-infection	12-21
	 R5 tropism (if available) 	-/+	+		Screen if considering R5 antagonist in regimen	
	• CD4 absolute count and % (optional: CD8 and %)	+	+	3-6 m (II)	Consider less frequent monitoring for stable patients on ART with high CD4-counts (1)	
660000000000000000000000000000000000000	• HLA B5701(if available)	+	-/+		Screen before starting abacavir containing ART, if not previously tested	7-7

	Assessment	At HIV diagnosis	Prior to starting cART	Follow-up frequency	Comment	See page
COINFECTIONS						
i H	Syphilis serology	+		Annual/as indicated	Consider more frequent screening if at risk	
8	• STI screen	+		Annual/as indicated	Screen if at risk	
	• Hep A serology	+			Screen at risk, vaccinate if non-immune	44
Viral Hepatitis	• Hep C screen	+		Annual/as indicated	Annual screen if ongoing risk Measure HCV-RNA if HCV Ab+ve or if acute infection suspected If HCV-RNA +ve	<u>46</u>
	• Hep B screen	+	+		Vaccinate if non-immune Annual screen in susceptible patients If Hep B sAg +ve	<u>52</u>
	·CXR	+			Consider routine CXR in patients from high prevalence TB populations	
Tuberculosis	• PPD if CD4-count > 400	+		Re-screen if exposure		
	 IGRA in selected high risk populations (if available) 	+				
	 Varicella zoster virus serology 	+			Offer vaccination where indicated	44
	 Measles/Rubella serology 	+			Offer vaccination where indicated	4
	 Toxoplasma serology 	+				
Others	CMV serology	+				
	 Leishmania serology 	-/+			Screen according to travel history/origin	
	 Tropical parasites: e.g. schistosomiasis, strongyloides serology 	-/+			Screen according to travel history/origin	
NON-INFECTIOU:	NON-INFECTIOUS CO-MORBIDITIES					
	·FBC	+	+	3-12 m		
Haematology	 Haemoglobinopathies 	+			Screen at risk patients	
	• G6PD	+			Screen at risk patients	
Body composition	• Body mass index	+	+	Annual		Appendix: Lifestyle interventions

	Assessment	At HIV diagnosis	Prior to starting cART	Follow-up frequency	Comment	See page
Cardiovascular	• Risk assessment (Framingham score (III)	+	+	Annual	Should be performed in all men > 40 and women > 50 years without CVD	<u>26</u>
disease	·ECG	+	-/+		Consider baseline ECG prior to starting PIs associated with potential conduction problems	
Hypertension	Blood pressure	+	+	Annual		27
Lipids	• TC, HDL-c, LDL-c, TG (W)	+	+	Annual	Repeat in fasting state if used for medical intervention (i.e. ≥ 8h without caloric intake)	31
Glucose	• Plasma glucose	+	+	6-12 m	Consider oral glucose tolerance test/HbA1c if fasting glucose levels of 5.7-6.9 mmol/L (100 125 mg/dL)	<u>29</u>
Liver disease	• Risk assessment (*)	+	+	Annual	More frequent monitoring prior to starting and on treatment with hepatotoxic drugs	39
	ALT/AST, ALP, Bilirubin	+	+	3-12 m		
	• Risk assessment (vi)	+	+	Annual		37
Renal disease	• eGFR (aMDRD) (MI)	+	+	3-12 m	More frequent monitoring if CKD risk factors present and/or prior to starting and on treatment with nephrotoxic drugs (x)	
	• Urine Dipstick analysis (viii)	+	+	Annual	Every 6 months if eGFR < 60 mL/min; if proteinuria ≥ 1+ and/or eGFR < 60 mL/min perform UP/C or UA/C (viii)	
	• Bone profile: calcium, PO4, ALP	+	+	6-12 m		35
Bone disease	• Risk assessment (*) (FRAX® (*) in patients > 40 years)	+	+	2 yrs	Consider DXA in at risk patients	
Vitamin D	• 25 OH Vitamin D	+		As indicated	Screen at risk patients	<u>36</u>
Neurocognitive impairment	Screening questions	+	+	2 yrs	Screen all patients without highly confounding conditions. If abnormal or symptomatic, refer to algorithm page for further assessment	48
Depression	 Screening questions 	+	+	1-2 yrs	Screen at risk patients	32
	 Mammography 			1-3 yrs	Women 50-70 years	<u>25</u>
	Cervical PAP			1-3 yrs	Sexually active women	
Cancer	 Anoscopy and PAP (MSM) 			1-3 yrs	Evidence of benefit uncertain	
	 Ultrasound and alphafoetoprotein 			0 m	Persons with cirrhosis	40
	• Others				Controversial	

- i Review all concomitant medications which may potentially interact with ART drugs or increase co-morbidities.
- ii If stable on ART with undetectable VL and CD4-count > 350x106/L, consider less frequent CD4-count monitoring every 6-12 months.
- iii A risk equation developed from HIV populations is under development (see: www.cphiv.dk/tools.aspx). Of note, if individual patients receive medication to control dyslipidaemia, and/or hypertension, interpretation of the estimation should be done with caution.
- iv Calculator for LDL-cholesterol in cases where TG is not high can be found at <u>www.cphiv.dk/tools.aspx</u>.
- Risk factors for chronic liver disease include: alcohol, viral hepatitis, obesity, diabetes, insulin resistance, hyperlipidaemia, hepatotoxic drugs.
- vi Risk factors for chronic kidney disease (CKD): hypertension, diabetes, CVD, family history, black African ethnicity, viral hepatitis, concomitant nephrotoxic drugs.
- vii eGFR: use the abbreviated modification of diet in renal disease formula (aMDRD) based on serum creatinine, gender, age and ethnicity (see: www.cphiv.dk/tools.aspx).

- viii Some experts recommend UA/C or UP/C as a screening test for proteinuria in all patients. UA/C: urinary albumin creatinine ratio (mg/mmol) predominantly detects glomerular disease. Use in patients with diabetes mellitus. UP/C: urinary total protein creatinine ratio (mg/mmol) detects total protein secondary to glomerular and tubular disease.
- ix Additional screening is required for patients receiving tenofovir (see p. 38).
- x Classic risk factors: older age, female gender, hypogonadism, family history of hip fracture, low BMI (≤ 19 kg/m²), vitamin D deficiency, smoking, physical inactivity, history of low impact fracture, alcohol excess (> 3 units/day), steroid exposure (minimum 5 mg for > 3 months).
- xi WHO fracture risk assessment tool (FRAX®): (www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX).

Part II ARV treatment of HIV-infected patients

Assessing patients' readiness to start ART (i)

Goal: Facilitate decision making and starting ART for patients who qualify according to international guidelines

Before initiating ART, screen for decision making and adherence barriers:

Patient-related factors: Depression (ii)

A. Harmful alcohol or recreational drug use (iii)

B. Cognitive problems (iv)

C. Low health literacy

System-related factors:

D. Health insurance and drug supply

E. Continuity of drug supply

F. Social support and disclosure

Recognise, discuss and reduce problems wherever possible!

Assess patients' readiness and support progress between stages: (v)

"I would like to talk about HIV medication." <wait> "What do you think about it?" (vi)

Remember:

• Set the agenda before every interview • Use open questions whenever possible

• Use the WEMS-technique (vii)

Precontemplation: "I don't need it, I feel good". "I don't want to think about it"

Support: Show respect for patient attitude / Try to understand health and therapy beliefs / Establish trust / Provide individualised short information / Schedule the next appointment

Restage again

Contemplation: "I am weighing things up and feel torn about what to do about it"

Support: Allow ambivalence / Support to weigh pros and cons together with patient / Assess information needs and support information seeking / Schedule the next appointment



Restage again

Preparation: "I want to start, I think the drugs will allow me to live a normal life"



Support: Reinforce decision / Make shared decision on most convenient regimen / Educate: adherence, resistance, side effects / Discuss integration into daily life / Assess self-efficacy

Ask: Do you think you can manage to take cART consistently once you have started?

Use: VAS 0-10 (viii)

0 5 10

Patients presenting in the clinic may be at different stages of readiness: Precontemplation, contemplation or preparation [Transtheoretic model; Prochaska JO. Am Psychol 47:1102-1114, 1992]. The first step is to assess this stage, and then to support/intervene accordingly. An exception is if a patient presents late or very late, i.e. < 200 or < 50 CD4/µL. In this case the initiation of ART should not be delayed; the clinician should try to identify the most important adherence barriers which may be present, and support the patient to be prepared for prompt initiation of ART.

Consider skills training:

- Medication-taking training, possibly MEMS (2-4wk) (ix)
- Directly Observed Therapy with educational support
- Use aids: Pillboxes, cell phone alarm, involve contact persons where appropriate

START AND MAINTAIN ADHERENCE

Screen: For adherence problems in each meeting (x)

Support: Discuss side effects, educate about surrogate markers,

discuss integration of drug-taking schedule

Empower: Give positive feedback

Comments on the table "Assessing patients' readiness to start ART"

- i This table should facilitate the initiation of ART. Matters for consideration listed in this table, such as decision making or barriers to adherence, have to be judged clinically in their context. For instance, the clinician has to judge whether ART has to be initiated immediately despite the detection of possible barriers to adherence or whether delaying initiation is justified. Consider patient's cultural background.
- ii Ask: "During the past month, have you often been bothered by feeling down, depressed or hopeless?" "During the past month, have you often been bothered by little interest or pleasure in doing things?" "Is this something with which you would like help?" If answers are positive, then sensitivity is 96 %, specificity 89 % (Arroll B et al. BMJ 327:1144-1146. 2003).
- iii Ask: "Have you thought about cutting down?"; "Have you ever become annoyed when people talk to you about your drinking?"; "Have you ever felt guilty about your drinking?"; "Do you ever have a drink first thing in the morning (eye opener)?". An affirmative answer to more than two CAGE questions means a sensitivity and specificity for problematic alcohol use of more than 90 % (Kitchens JM. JAMA 272(22): 1782-1787. 1994). Ask similar questions for recreational drug use.
- iv Ask: "Do you feel that you are having problems concentrating in your daily life?"; "Do you feel slow in your thinking?"; "Do you feel that you are having problems with your memory?"; "Have relatives or friends expressed that they feel you are having problems with your memory or difficulty concentrating?"
- v Patients presenting in the clinic may be at different stages of readiness: Precontemplation, contemplation or preparation [Transtheoretic model; Prochaska JO. Am Psychol 47:1102-1114, 1992]. The first step is to assess this stage, and then to support/intervene accordingly. An exception is if a patient presents late or very late, i.e. < 200 or < 50 CD4/µL. In this case the initiation of ART should not be delayed; the clinician should try to identify the most important adherence barriers which may be present, and support the patient to be prepared for prompt initiation of ART.

- vi This is a suggested opening question to assess the patient's stage of readiness. Further discussion will indicate which of the three initial stages the patient has reached: he/she might even be ready for therapy.
- vii WEMS: Waiting (> 3 sec), Echoing, Mirroring, Summarising (Langewitz W et al. BMJ 325:682-683. 2002).
- viii VAS (= Visual Analogue Scale; Range from 0 to 10 i.e. 0 = I will not manage, 10 = I am sure I will manage).
- ix Medication training/MEMS training could be done with vitamins before starting ART.
- x Suggested adherence questions: "In the past 4 wks, how often have you missed a dose of your HIV medication: every day, more than once a wk, once a wk, once every 2 wks, once a month, never?" "Have you missed more than one dose in a row?" (Glass TR et al. Antiviral Therapy 13(1):77-85. 2008).

Adapted from: J. Fehr, D. Nicca, F. Raffi, R. Spirig, W. Langewitz, D. Haerry, M. Battegay, NEAT, 2008.

Recommendations for initiation of ART in HIV-positive persons without prior ART exposure (i)

Recommendations are graded while taking into account both the degree of progression of HIV disease and the presence of or high risk for developing various types of (co-morbid) conditions

Condition	Current CD4+ lymphocyt count (ii,iii)		
	350-500	> 500	
Asymptomatic HIV infection	С	D	
Symptomatic HIV disease (CDC B or C conditions) incl. tuberculosis	R	R	
Primary HIV infection	С	С	
Pregnancy (before third trimester)	R	R	
Conditions (likely or possibly) associated with HIV, other than CDC stage B or C disease:			
HIV-associated kidney disease	R	R	
HIV-associated neurocognitive impairment	R	R	
Hodgkin's lymphoma	R	R	
HPV-associated cancers	R	R	
Other non-AIDS-defining cancers requiring chemo- and/or radiotherapy	С	С	
Autoimmune disease – otherwise unexplained	С	С	
High risk for CVD (> 20 % estimated 10-yr risk) or history of CVD	С	С	
Chronic viral hepatitis			
HBV requiring anti-HBV treatment	R	R	
HBV not requiring anti-HBV treatment	C/R (iv)	D	
HCV for which anti-HCV treatment is being considered or given	R (v)	D (vi)	
HCV for which anti-HCV treatment not feasible	R	С	

Time should be taken to prepare the patient, in order to optimize compliance and adherence.

Genotypic resistance testing and subtype determination is recommended prior to initiation of ART; ideally at the time of HIV diagnosis, otherwise before initiation of ART. If genotypic testing is not available, it is recommended to include a ritonavir-boosted PI in the first-line regimen.

Before starting treatment, the HIV RNA level and CD4-count should be repeated to obtain a baseline to assess subsequent response.

iii ART is always recommended in any HIV-positive person with a current CD4-count below 350 cells/μL. iii C=use of ART should be considered; for patients under these circumstances, some experts would recommend starting ART whereas others would recommend deferral of ART; this clinical equipoise reflects that whereas certain evidence supports starting ART, this needs to be balanced against the risk of known or undiscovered adverse drug reactions from use of ART, and hence the risk/benefit ratio for use of ART under these circumstances has not yet been well defined.

D=defer initiation of ART.

R=use of ART is recommended.

- iv Initiation of ART is recommended in those who are HBeAg-positive.
- v Initiation of ART is recommended to optimize the outcome of HCV treatment.
- vi HCV treatment to attempt eradication of HCV should be prioritized and ART deferred.

i The consideration to start ART may be individualized regardless of CD4-count and plasma HIV RNA level, especially if a patient is requesting ARV therapy and ready to start, and/or for any other personal reasons. In serodiscordant couples, early initiation of ART as one aspect of the overall strategy to reduce HIV transmission to the seronegative partner should be considered and actively discussed.

Initial combination regimen for antiretroviral-naive adult patients

Recommended regimens (*)

A drug from column A should be combined with the drugs listed in column B (**)

Α	В	Remarks
NNRTI	NRTI	
• EFV (i)	ABC/3TC (vi) or TDF/FTC	TDF/FTC co-formulatedABC/3TC co-formulatedEFV/TDF/FTC co-formulated
• NVP (ii)	TDF/FTC	TDF/FTC co-formulated
Ritonavir-boosted PI		
• ATV/r (iii) • DRV/r (iii) • LPV/r (iv)	ABC/3TC (vi) or TDF/FTC	 ATV/r: 300/100 mg qd DRV/r: 800/100 mg qd LPV/r: 400/100 mg bid or 800/200 mg qd
ITI		
• RAL	TDF/FTC	• RAL: 400 mg bid

Alternative regimen components

Ritonavir-boosted PI	Remarks
• SQV/r	1000/100 mg BID
• FPV/r	700/100 mg bid or 1400/200 mg QD
NRTI	
• TDF-3TC • ZDV/3TC • ddl/3TC or ddl/FTC (vii)	ZDV/3TC co-formulated
CCR5 inhibitor	
MVC (v)	Only if CCR5 tropic HIV (vii)

^{*} Only drugs currently licensed for initiation of therapy by the European EMA are taken into consideration.

^{**} Generic HIV drugs are becoming more available and can be used as long as they replace the same drug and do not break recommended fixed dose combinations.

i EFV: not recommended in pregnant women or women with no reliable and consistent contraception; not active on HIV-2 and HIV-1 group O.

ii NVP: Use with extreme caution in women with CD4 > 250 and men with CD4 > 400 μ L and only if benefits outweigh the risk; not active on HIV-2 and HIV-1 group O.

iii Castle study (LPV/r vs. ATV/r) has shown better tolerability of ATV/r and Artemis study (LPV/r vs. DRV/r) better efficacy and greater tolerability of DRV/r.

iv ACTG 5142 randomised study showed lower virological efficacy of LPV/r vs. EFV while no PI mutations were seen in the LPV/r plus two nucleoside failures. However, PI mutations were seen on LPV/r + EFV.

v Unlicensed in Europe for naive patients.

vi ABC contra-indicated if HLA B*5701 positive. Even if HLA B*5701 negative, counselling on HSR risk still mandatory. ABC should be used with caution in patients with a high CVD risk and/or patients with a VL > than 100,000 c/mL.

vii Only if unavailability or intolerance to other recommended NRTIs.

Acute HIV infection

Definition of Acute primary HIV infection

- High-risk exposure within previous 2-8 weeks,
- · and clinical symptoms,
- and detectable HIV in the plasma (p24 Ag and/or HIV RNA > 10 000 c/mL)
- and negative or indeterminate serologic testing (negative or weakly positive ELISA, and WB ≤ 1 band)
- Recommendation: confirm HIV infection by HIV antibody test (WB) performed 2 weeks later.

Treatment:

- · Treatment indicated if:
 - AIDS defining events
 - Confirmed CD4 < 350 c/µL at month 3 or beyond
- · Treatment should be considered if:
 - Severe illness/prolonged symptoms (especially CNS symptoms)
- If treatment of PHI is considered, patient should be preferably recruited into a clinical trial
- Treatment optional, if based only on theoretical considerations. In most situations, wait till month 6 (with CD4 and plasma HIV-RNA monitoring) and follow criteria for initiation of treatment in chronic HIV infection. Some experts recommend treatment as a tool for prevention of HIV transmission.
- · Duration of treatment should be lifelong.
- · Maintain closer follow-up in case of treatment interruption

Resistance testing:

- Recommended in all situations as soon as acute HIV infection is diagnosed, even if treatment not initiated
- In case it cannot be performed, store a plasma sample for testing.

Transmission:

- Recognize STIs, including syphilis, gonorrhoea, chlamydia (urethritis and LGV), HPV, hepatitis B and hepatitis C
- Counsel newly diagnosed patient on high risk of transmission and preventive measures (condoms) including notifying and testing partners.

Switch strategies for virologically suppressed patients (confirmed plasma viral load < 50 c/mL)

Indication:

1. Switch for toxicity

- Documented toxicity
- Management of potential drug interactions
- Side effects
- Planned pregnancy

2. Switch for prevention of long-term toxicity

- Prevention of long-term toxicity (pre-emptive switch)
- Ageing and/or co-morbidity with a possible negative impact of drug(s) in current regimen, e.g. on CVS risk, metabolic parameters.

3. Switch for simplification

- Wish to simplify regimen
- Actual regimen no longer recommended

Principles:

- A boosted PI may be switched for simplification, prevention or improvement of metabolic abnormalities or adherence facilitation to unboosted atazanavir, an NNRTI or raltegravir only if full activity of the 2 NRTIs remaining in the regimen can be guaranteed.
- 2. Simplification of a complex multidrug regimen in antiretroviral-experienced patients with 1) substitution of drugs difficult to administer (enfuvirtide) and/or with poor activity (NRTI in case of multiple NRTI resistance) and/or poor tolerability and 2) addition of new well-tolerable, simpler and active agent(s).
- Bid to qd NRTI switch for simplification, prevention of long-term toxicity
- 4. Intra-class switch if drug-specific related adverse event
- PI/r to NNRTI switch for simplification, prevention or improvement of metabolic abnormalities, adherence facilitation. NVP has the advantage of its metabolic profile. EFV has the advantage of possible FDC of 3 drugs (Atripla).
- Review the complete ARV history and available resistance test results
- Avoid switching to a drug with a low genetic barrier in the presence of a backbone compromised by the possibility of archived class resistance

Strategies not recommended:

- a. Intermittent therapy, sequential or prolonged treatment interruptions
- b. 2-drug combination, i.e. 1 NRTI + 1 NNRTI or 1 NRTI + 1 PI without ritonavir or 1 NRTI + RAL, or 2 NRTIs
- c. Triple NRTI combinations

Other strategy:

PI/r monotherapy with bid LPV/r or qd DRV/r might represent an option in patients with intolerance to NRTI or for treatment simplification. Such a strategy only applies to patients without history of failure on prior PI-based therapy and who have had viral loads < 50 c/mL in at least the past 6 months.

Virological failure

Definition	Confirmed plasma HIV RNA > 50 copies/mL 6 months after starting therapy (initiation or modification) in patients that remain on ART (i)
General measures	 Review expected potency of the regimen Evaluate adherence, compliance, tolerability, drug-drug interactions, drug-food interactions, psychosocial issues Perform resistance testing on failing therapy (usually routinely available for VL levels > 350-500 c/mL and in specialised laboratories for lower levels of viraemia) and obtain historical resistance testing for archived mutations Tropism testing Consider TDM Review antiretroviral history Identify treatment options, active and potentially active drugs/combinations
Management of virological failure (VF)	If plasma HIV RNA > 50 and < 500-1000 copies/mL • Check for adherence • Check plasma HIV RNA 1 to 2 months later If genotype not possible, consider changing regimen based on past treatment and resistance history If plasma HIV RNA confirmed > 500/1000 copies/mL, change regimen as soon as possible. What to change will depend on the resistance testing results: • No resistance mutations found: re-check for adherence, perform TDM • Resistance mutations found: switch to a suppressive regimen based on drug history; multidisciplinary expert discussion advised Goal of new regimen: plasma HIV RNA < 400 c/mL after 3 months, plasma HIV RNA < 50 c/mL after 6 months
In case of demonstrated resistance mutations	 General recommendations: Use at least 2 and preferably 3 active drugs in the new regimen (including active drugs from previously used classes) Any regimen should use at least 1 fully active PI/r (e.g. darunavir/r) plus 1 drug from a class not used previously e.g. fusion, integrase or CCR5 antagonist (if tropism test shows R5 virus only), or 1 NNRTI (e.g. etravirine), assessed by genotypic testing Defer change if < 2 active drugs available, based on resistance data, except in patients with low CD4-count (< 100 cells/µL) or with high risk of clinical deterioration for whom the goal is the preservation of immune function through partial reduction of plasma HIV RNA (> 1 log reduction) by recycling If limited options, consider experimental and new drugs, favouring clinical trials (but avoid functional monotherapy) Treatment interruption is not recommended Consider continuation of 3TC or FTC in particular situations even if documented resistance mutation (M184V/I) If many options are available, criteria of preferred choice include: simplicity of the regimen, toxicity risks evaluation, drug-drug interactions, future salvage therapy

i Depending on the viral load assay, this limit could be higher or lower.

Treatment of HIV pregnant women

Pregnant women should be monitored every month and as close as possible to the predicted delivery date.

Criteria for starting ART in pregnant women (see different scenarios)	Same as for non pregnant					
Objective of treatment in pregnant women	Full plasma HIV RNA suppression by third trimester and specifically at time of delivery					
Resistance testing	Same as for non pregnant, i.e. before starting ART and in case of virological failure					
SCENARIO						
1. Women becoming pregnant while already on ART	Maintain ART but switch drugs that are potentially teratogenic					
2. Women becoming pregnant while treatment naive and who fulfil the criteria (CD4) for initiation of ART	2. Starting ART at beginning of 2nd trimester is optimal					
3. Women becoming pregnant while treatment naive and who do not fulfil the criteria (CD4) for initiation of ART	3. Start ART at beginning of W28 of pregnancy (at the latest 12 weeks before delivery); start earlier if high plasma viral load or risk of prematurity					
4. Women whose follow-up starts after W28 of pregnancy	4. Start ART immediately					
	Same as non pregnant					
	Except avoid EFV					
Antiretroviral regimen in pregnancy	NVP not to be initiated but continuation is possible if started before pregnancy					
	Among PI/r, prefer LPV/r or SQV/r or ATV/r					
	RAL, DRV/r: little data available in pregnant women					
	• ZDV should be part of the regimen if possible					
Drugs contra-indicated during pregnancy	Efavirenz, ddl + d4T, triple NRTI combinations					
IV zidovudine during labour	Benefit uncertain if plasma HIV RNA < 50 c/mL					
Single dose nevirapine during labour	Not recommended					
Caesarean section	Benefit uncertain if plasma HIV RNA < 50 c/mL at W34-36. In this case, consider vaginal delivery only					

ART in TB/HIV coinfection

Suggested timing of ART initiation in TB/HIV coinfection according to CD4/µL

CD4-COUNT, CELLS/µL	WHEN TO START ART
< 100	As soon as possible and ideally within 2 weeks (1)
100–350	As soon as practical, but can wait until after completing 2 months TB treatment especially when there are difficulties with drug interactions, adherence and toxicities
> 350	At physician discretion

Concomitant use of anti-TB medications and antiretrovirals

NRTIs: no significant interaction with rifampicin or rifabutin

NNRTIs:

- EFV and rifampicin: EFV 800 mg qd if weight > 60 kg, 600 mg qd if < 60 kg; rifampicin at standard dose. Some physicians prefer not to dose adapt efavirenz as data is controversial. In any case, TDM is recommended after 2 weeks
- EFV and rifabutin: EFV at standard dose; rifabutin 450 mg daily
- NVP: not recommended
- Etravirine: not recommended

· Pls:

- and rifampicin: not recommended
- and rifabutin: rifabutin 150 mg x 3 per week with ATV/r, DRV/r, LPV/r or SQV/r; PI/r at standard dose; monitor liver enzyme tests and, whenever possible, perform TDM for PI

· Raltegravir:

- and rifampicin: use with caution (only if no alternative), if used: raltegravir 800 mg bid
- and rifabutin: can be given with raltegravir both in normal doses

Maraviroc:

- and rifampicin: use with caution at double dose 600 mg bd maraviroc
- and rifabutin: standard doses
- Enfuvirtide: no significant interaction with rifampicin or rifabutin

Where combinations are not recommended, specialist HIV treatment advice should be sought. TDM of NNRTI and PI should be performed when drug regimens contain one of these drugs. Drug levels of anti-tuberculosis drugs should be measured when there is clinical concern regarding absorption or response to TB therapy.

Recommended 1st line ARV combination in patients receiving anti-TB medication

Among recommended regimens for antiretroviral-naive patients, preference should be given to EFV/TDF/FTC with dose adaptation of EFV if needed (see above).

Alternative

- Recommended PI/r + TDF/FTC, using rifabutin instead of rifampicin
- · Use with caution
 - 1. Raltegravir 800 mg bid + TDF/FTC with rifampicin
 - If plasma viral load < 100,000 c/mL, fixed-dose combination of ZDV/ABC/3TC bid +/- tenofovir could also represent a short-term alternative until TB treatment has been completed.

If it is not possible to use these drugs because of resistance/intolerance, seek expert help.

i Be aware of IRS reaction in patients starting ARV at low CD4 levels and at early initiation. Corticoids could be considered as treatment of IRS in some settings

Post-exposure prophylaxis

	POST EXPOSURE PROPHYLA	AXIS (PEP) RECOMMENDED IF
	Nature of exposure	Status of source patient
Blood Genital secretions	Subcutaneous or intramuscular penetration with IV or IM needle, or intravascular device	HIV + Or serostatus unknown but presence of HIV risk factors
Blood	Percutaneous injury with sharp instrument (lancet), IM or SC needle, suture needle Contact > 15 min of mucous membrane or non intact skin	HIV +
Blood • Percutaneous (lancet), IM or • Contact > 15 r non intact skin Genital secretions with IV or IM need • Percutaneous (lancet), IM or • Contact > 15 r non intact skin	Anal or vaginal sex	HIV + Or serostatus unknown but presence of HIV risk factors
	Receptive oral sex with ejaculation	HIV +
Intravenous drug use	Exchange of syringe, needle, preparation material or any other material	HIV +

- Rapid testing of the source patient for HCV and HIV (if HIV status unknown) recommended
- If source patient HIV+ on ARV therapy, order resistance testing if VL detectable
- Individualise PEP according to the source's treatment history and previous resistance tests
- PEP to be started ideally < 4 hours after the exposure, and no later than 48 hours
- Duration of PEP: 4 weeks
- Standard PEP regimen: TDF/FTC (alternative: ZDV/3TC)
 + LPV/r tablets 400/100 mg bid
- Full sexual health screen in case of sexual exposure

- Follow-up:
 - HIV serology + HBV and HCV, pregnancy test (women) within 48 hours of exposure
 - Re-evaluation of PEP indication by HIV expert within 48-72 hours
 - Assess tolerability of PEP regimen
 - Transaminases, HCV-PCR and HCV serology at month 1 if source of exposure was HCV+ (observed or suspected)
 - Repeat HIV serology after 2 and 4 months, syphilis serology after 1 month if sexual exposure

Antiretroviral drugs & drug classes: frequent/severe side effects (0) 1/2

Other		Anaemia					*: Systemic hypersensitivity (HLA B*5701 dependent)			Teratogenesis	Reduced vitamin D level	Systemic hypersensitivity (CD4, gender, ART experience dependent)	
Metabolic		Dyslipidaemia Hyperlactataemia	Dyslipidaemia Hyperlactataemia	Hyperlactataemia						Dyslipidaemia	Gynaecomastia		
Body fat		-	Lipoatiopiny										
Nervous			Derinheral	neuropathy						Depression, suicidal ideation	Dizziness, sleep disturbances		
Genitourinary								↓ GFR syndrome					
Musculo- skeletal		Myopathy						↓ BMD, Osteomalacia					
CO				물			물						
Liver		Steatosis	Steatosis	Steatosis, Liver fibrosis								Hepatitis	
Digestive		Nausea	Pancreatitis	Pancreatitis									
Skin		Nail pigmentation					Rash *			, ,	Yası	Rash	Rash
	NRTI	ZDV	d4T	Ipp	ЗТС	FTC	ABC	TDF	NNRTI	2	> L U	NVP	ETV

Antiretroviral drugs & drug classes: frequent/severe side effects (i) 2/2

Other											Hypersensitivity, ↑risk for pneumonia				↑ risk for infections
Metabolic		Dyslipidaemia	Diabetes mellitus	Dyslipidaemia	Dyslipidaemia	Dyslipidaemia	Dyslipidaemia	Dyslipidaemia	Dyslipidaemia						_
Body fat		+ ahdominal	fat				† abdominal fat								
Nervous									Intracranial haemorrhage				Headache		
Genitourinary			Nephrolithiasis				Nephrolithiasis								
Musculo- skeletal													Myopathy		
CV			물		ヨ	HD									呈
Liver			Jaundice				Jaundice		Hepatitis						Hepatitis
Digestive						diarrhoea (ii)							Nausea		
Skin		Dry skin	Nail dystrophy			Rash		Rash		Fusion inhibitors	Injection site reactions	Integrase inhibitors		CCR5 inhibitors	
	Ы		20	SQVI	LPV	FPV	ATV	DRV	TPV	Fusion i	ENF	Integras	RAL	CCR5 in	MVC

[&]quot;Severe events" (events that can put patient's life at risk and represent a medical emergency) are marked in red. "Frequent events" (events expected in at least 10 % of treated patients) are marked in bold. Frequency and severity differs between individual agents.

EACS Guidelines / 2

Drug-drug interactions between HIV drugs and non-HIV drugs (i)

	Non-HIV drugs	ATV	DRV	LPV	RTV (ii)	EFV	ETV	NVP	MVC	RAL
	atorvastatin	1	1	↑	1	↓	\downarrow	↓*	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
GS	fluvastatin	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *		↑ *		↔ *	↔ *
) RU	pravastatin	↔ *	1	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	↓	↓ *	↔ *	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
Å	rosuvastatin	1	↑ *	1	1	\leftrightarrow	↑ *	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
	simvastatin	1	1	1	1	\	↓ *	↓*	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
SC	amlodipine	↑ * (iii)	↑ *	↑*	↑*	↓*	↓*	↓*	↔ *	\leftrightarrow
×	diltiazem	↑ (iii)	↑ *	1	1	\	↓ *		E*	\leftrightarrow
Sign	metoprolol	↑ *	↑ *	↑*	↑*	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
CARDIOVASCULAR DRUGS	verapamil	↑ * (iii)	↑ *	↑ *	↑*	↓*	↓ *	↓*	E*	↔ *
	warfarin	↑ or ↓ *		\	\	↑ or ↓ *	↑ *	↑ or ↓ *	↔ *	↔ *
	diazepam	↑ *	↑ *	↑ *	↑*	↓*	↑ *	↓*	↔ *	↔ *
	midazolam	1	1	↑	1	1			\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
	triazolam	1	1	↑	↑	1			↔ *	↔ *
(n)	citalopram	↑*	↑*	↑*	↑*	↓ *	↑*	↓*	↔ *	↔ *
DRUGS	mirtazapine	↑ *	↑ *	↑*	↑*	↓ *	↓*	↓ *	↔ *	↔ *
DR	paroxetine	↑ *	\	↑*	1	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
CNS	sertraline	↑ *	↓	↑*	1	↓	↓ *	↓*	↔ *	↔ *
0	pimozide	1	1	1	↑	1			↔ *	↔ *
	carbamazepine	↑D	1	↑D	1	↓D	D	↓D	D	D
	lamotrigine	↔ **	↔ *	\	\	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
	phenytoin	D	D	D	\	↓D	D	↓D	D	D
ပ္သ	clarithromycin	↑E	1	1	↑	↓	↓E	\	Е	↔ *
ANTI-INFECTIVES	fluconazole	\leftrightarrow	↔ *	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	Е	E	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
	itraconazole	↑E	↑E	↑E	↑	↓	↓E	\	Е	\leftrightarrow
¥	rifabutin	1	↑E	↑	↑	↓	D			\leftrightarrow
🛓	rifampicin	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
₹	voriconazole	\downarrow	\	\	\downarrow	↓E	↓E	ţΕ	Е	\leftrightarrow
	antacids	D	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow		\leftrightarrow	↔ *	\leftrightarrow	↔ *	Е
	PPIs	D	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	↔ *	Е
	H2 blockers	D	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	↔ *	Е
	alfuzosin	↑	1	↑	1	↓ *	↓*	↓*	↔ *	↔ *
	buprenorphine	1	1	\leftrightarrow	↑	↓	↓ *	↓*	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
NEC	budesonide inhal.	1	1	1	↑	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
MISCELLANEOUS	ergot derivatives	1	1	1	↑	1	↑*		↔ *	↔ *
J	ethinylestradiol	↑**	\downarrow	\	\		\leftrightarrow	\downarrow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow
	fluticasone inhal.	1	1	1	↑	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
	methadone	\leftrightarrow	↓	↓	\	↓	\leftrightarrow	↓	↔ *	\leftrightarrow
	salmeterol inhal.	1	1	1	1	↔*	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *	↔ *
	sildenafil	↑*	1	1	1	↓*	↓	↓*	↔ *	\leftrightarrow
	St John's wort	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	\leftrightarrow

Comments:

- This table summarizes the drug-drug interactions between HIV therapy and some commonly prescribed co-medications as well as the drug-drug interactions of particular clinical relevance. This table is not exhaustive; for additional drug-drug interactions and for more detailed pharmacokinetic interaction data and dosage adjustments, refer to www.hiv-druginteractions.org.
- ii Ritonavir dosed as a pharmacokinetic enhancer or as an antiretroviral agent.
- iii ECG monitoring is recommended.

Legend:

↑ =	elevated exposure of non-HIV drug
↓ =	decreased exposure of non-HIV drug
↔ =	no significant effect
E =	elevated exposure of HIV drug

D = decreased exposure of HIV drug

* = prediction based on metabolic profiles of the drugs only, no clinical data from interaction study, absence of * indicates that clinical data are available

** = effect with unboosted ATV. Boosted ATV ↓ lamotrigine and ethinylestradiol

Colour legend:

red =	these drugs should not be coadministered
amber =	potential interaction which may require close monitoring or alteration of drug dosage or timing of administration
green =	no clinically significant interaction expected

Note: the "traffic light" used to rank the clinical significance of the drug interaction refers to www.hiv-druginteractions.org

Part III Prevention and management of non-infectious co-morbidities in HIV

HIV-specific issues to be considered in managing "non-infectious" co-morbidities

Non-infectious co-morbidities include cardiovascular, renal, hepatic, metabolic, neoplastic and bone pathologies, central nervous system disorders and sexual dysfunction. Although HIV and other infections may be involved in their pathogenesis, this section of the EACS guidelines focuses on preventive and/or management principles other than use of antivirals and other anti-infectious agents in adults and adolescent HIV-infected persons.

These co-morbidities are becoming increasingly important for HIV-infected persons as a consequence of increased life expectancy resulting from effective ART. Additionally, several demonstrated and proposed HIV-associated risk factors may contribute to their development including immune activation, inflammation and coagulation associated with (uncontrolled) replication of HIV, coinfections (e.g. HCV), ART itself and persistent immunodeficiency.

Health care professionals involved with the care of HIV-infected persons who are not familiar with the use of ART should consult HIV specialists before introducing or modifying any type of treatment that HIV-infected patients receive.

Conversely, many HIV physicians are not specialists in noninfectious co-morbidities, and should seek expert advice where appropriate in the prevention and management of such conditions. Situations where consultation is generally recommended are indicated in these guidelines.

Preventing or managing these diseases in HIV often involves polypharmacy, which increases the risk of suboptimal adherence and hence may compromise the continued benefit of ART. Additionally, the possibility of drug-drug interactions with ART should be carefully considered prior to introducing any treatment. For this purpose, refer to www.hiv-druginteractions.org.

These guidelines are intended to provide the best guide to clinical management, and it is recognised that the level of evidence to support the advice varies. Indeed, there is limited evidence from randomised controlled trials on best management of non-infectious co-morbidities in HIV. As a result, current management is mainly derived from general medical guidelines. These guidelines therefore represent the collective consensus opinion of a panel of experts in the field of HIV and the respective range of co-morbidities, and no attempt to rate the underlying evidence and strength of the panel's recommendations was undertaken.

Depending on future clinical research findings, these guidelines will be regularly updated as required. The online version of the guidelines, at www.europeanaidsclinicalsociety.org, contains more detailed information and links to other relevant websites; this will be regularly updated.

The current guidelines highlight non-infectious co-morbidities that are seen frequently in the routine care of HIV-infected persons and those for which specific issues should be considered. Other related conditions in the management of HIV disease that are not extensively discussed, but may be included in future versions are:

- Women's health issues not already covered
- Neuropathy which may be caused by infections (e.g. HIV), some ARV (see p. <u>20</u>), other neuropathic drugs, and metabolic diseases (e.g. diabetes)

Cancer - screening methods (1)


Problem	Patients	Procedure	Evidence of benefit	Screening interval	Additional comments
Anal cancer	Homosexual men	Digital rectal exam ± Papanicolau test	Unknown advocated by some experts	1-3 years	If Pap test abnormal, anoscopy
Breast cancer	Women 50-70 yrs	Mammography	↓ Breast cancer mortality	1-3 years	
Cervical cancer	Sexually active women	Papanicolau test	↓ Cervical cancer mortality	1-3 years	Target age group should include at least the age range 30 to 59 years. Longer screening interval if prior screening tests repeatedly negative
Colorectal cancer	Persons 50-75 yrs	Faecal Occult Blood test	↓ Colorectal cancer mortality	1-3 years	Benefit is marginal
Hepatocellular carcinoma	Persons with cirrhosis	Ultrasound and alphafoetoprotein	Diagnosis earlier allowing for improved ability for surgical eradication	Every 6 months	
Prostate cancer	Men > 50 yrs	Digital rectal exam ± prostate specific antigen (PSA)	Use of PSA is controversial	1-3 years	Pros: ↑ early diagnosis Cons: Overtreatment, no ↓ cancer-related mortality

Screening recommendations derived from the general population. These screenings should preferably be done as part of national general population-screening programmes. Although non-Hodgkin's lymphoma has a higher incidence in HIV-infected patients than in the general population, it is currently unknown whether it can be screened.

Careful examination of skin should be performed regularly to detect cancers such as Kaposi's sarcoma, basal cell carcinoma and malignant melanoma.

Prevention of CVD

Principles: The intensity of efforts to prevent CVD depends on the underlying risk of CVD, which can be estimated ⁽¹⁾. The preventive efforts are diverse in nature and require involvement of a relevant specialist, in particular if the risk of CVD is high and always in patients with a history of CVD.



- Use the Framingham equation; a risk equation developed from HIV populations has been developed (see www.cphiv.dk/tools.aspx). This assessment and the associated considerations outlined in this figure should be repeated annually in all patients under care (see p. 6) to ensure that the various interventions are initiated in a timely way.
- ii Options for ART modification include: (1) replace PI/r with NNRTI, RAL or by another PI/r known to cause less metabolic disturbances (see p. 20); (2) consider replacing d4T, ZDV or ABC with TDF or use a NRTI sparing regimen.
- iii Of the modifiable risk factors outlined, drug treatment is reserved for certain subgroups where benefits are considered to outweigh potential harm. Of note, there is a combined benefit of various interventions in target groups identified. Per 10 mmHg reduction in systolic blood pressure, per 1 mmol/L (39 mg/dL) reduction in TC and with use of acetylsalicylic acid, each reduces risk of IHD by 20-25 %; the effect is additive. Observational studies suggest that smoking cessation results in greatest reductions in risk of IHD-50 % and this is additive to other interventions.
- iv See discussion on drug treatment of patients with lower CVD risk at www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines/cholesterol/atp3 rpt.htm.
- v Target levels are to be used as guidance and are not definitive expressed as mmol/L with mg/dL in parenthesis. In case LDL cannot be calculated because of high triglyceride levels, the non-HDL-c (TC minus HDL-c) target should be used which is 0.8 mmol/L (30 mg/dL) higher than the corresponding LDL-c target. Target levels for TG are not listed because an independent contribution from TG to CVD risk is uncertain and hence whether this condition should be treated (see p. 31).
- vi Evidence for benefit when used in persons without a history of CVD (including diabetics) is less compelling.

Hypertension: diagnosis and management - 1/2

	BLOOD PRESS	BLOOD PRESSURE (mmHg) $^{\scriptscriptstyle{(0)}}$ LEVELS + DIAGNOSIS & GRADING OF HYPERTENSION	AGNOSIS & GRADING OF H	YPERTENSION	
Other risk factors and disease history	Normal: SBP 120-129 or DBP 80-84	High normal: SBP 130-139 or DBP 85-89	Grade 1: SBP 140-159 or DBP 90-99	Grade 2: SBP 160-179 or DBP100-109	Grade 3: SBP > 180 or DBP > 110
No other risk factors	Average risk	Average risk	Low added risk	Moderate added risk	High added risk
	No BP intervention	No BP intervention	Lifestyle changes for several months (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ , then possible drug therapy (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾)	Lifestyle changes for several months (II), then drug therapy (III)	Immediate drug therapy (⁽¹¹⁾ and lifestyle changes ⁽¹¹⁾
1-2 risk factors (w)	Low added risk	Low added risk	Moderate added risk	Moderate added risk	Very high added risk
	Lifestyle changes (11)	Lifestyle changes (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	Lifestyle changes for several months (II), then drug therapy (III)	Lifestyle changes for several months (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ , then drug therapy (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾)	Immediate drug therapy ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ and lifestyle changes ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾
3 or more risk factors (w) or target organ disease (v) or diabetes	Moderate added risk	High added risk	High added risk	High added risk	Very high added risk
	Lifestyle changes ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	Drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle changes (ii)	Drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle changes (ii)	Drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle Immediate drug therapy (iii) changes (ii) and lifestyle changes (iii)	Immediate drug therapy (III) and lifestyle changes (I)
Associated clinical conditions (vi)	High added risk	Very high added risk	Very high added risk	Very high added risk	Very high added risk
	Drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle changes (ii)	Drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle Immediate drug therapy (iii) changes (ii) and lifestyle changes (ii)	Immediate drug therapy (⁽ⁱⁱ⁾ and lifestyle changes ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	Immediate drug therapy ⁽ⁱⁱⁱ⁾ and lifestyle changes ⁽ⁱⁱ⁾	Immediate drug therapy (iii) and lifestyle changes (ii)

V Target organ disease: left ventricular hypertrophy, ultrasound evidence of arterial wall thickening, microalbuminuna.

Warning: Caution regarding drug-drug interactions with antihypertensive drugs and ART.

SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure. Repeated blood pressure measurements should be used for stratification.


Recommended lifestyle interventions - See Appendix: Lifestyle interventions. Table adapted from J. Hypertension 2003; 21:1779-86.

iii See next page iv Risk factors include age (> 45 years for men; > 55 years for women), smoking, family history of premature CVD.

vi Associated clinical conditions: CVD, IHD, renal disease, peripheral vascular disease, advanced retinopathy.

Hypertension: diagnosis and management - 2/2

Choosing drugs (1) for patients newly diagnosed with hypertension



Abbreviations + details:

- A ACE inhibitor (e.g. perindopril, lisinopril or ramipril) or low cost angiotensin receptor blockers (ARB) (e.g. losartan, candesartan)
- C Dihydropyridine calcium-channel blocker (e.g. amlodipine). If not tolerated, verapamil (note: dose with caution with Pls which may increase plasma concentrations leading to toxic reactions), or diltiazem may be used
- D Thiazide-type diuretic e.g. indapamide or chlorthalidone

Several anti-hypertensive drugs interact with the pharmacokinetics of ART

 check always for drug-drug interactions

ii Black patients are those of African or Caribbean descent, and not mixedrace, Asian or Chinese patients

iii Await 2-6 weeks to assess whether target (p. <u>26</u>) is achieved – if not go to next step

iv Requirement of 4-5 drugs to manage hypertension needs specialist training

Type 2 diabetes: diagnosis and management

Diagnostic criteria (i)

	Fasting plasma glucose mmol/L (mg/dL) (iii)	Oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) 2-h value mmol/L (mg/dL) (iii)	HbA1c ^(iv)
Diabetes	≥ 7.0 (126) OR →	≥ 11.1 (200)	≥ 6.5 %
Impaired glucose tolerance (IGT)	< 7.0 (126) AND →	7.8 – 11.0 (140 – 199)	Prediabetes
Impaired fasting glucose (IFG)	5.7-6.9 (100 - 125)	< 7.8 (140)	5.7-6.4 %

Both IGT and IFG increase CV morbidity and mortality, and increase the risk of developing diabetes by 4-6 fold. These patients should be targeted for lifestyle intervention, and their CV risk factors must be evaluated and treated.


i As defined by WHO and International Diabetes Federation (2005)

ii $\,$ An abnormal finding should be repeated before confirming the diagnosis

iii Recommended in patients with fasting blood glucose 5.7 - 6.9 mmol/L (100-125 mg/dL) as it may identify patients with overt diabetes

iv Do not use HbA1c in presence of hemoglobinopathies, increased erythrocyte turnover and severe liver or kidney dysfunction. Falsely high values are measured under supplementation with iron, vitamin C and E as well as older age (age > 70: HbA1c +0.4 %)

Interventions for treatment of diabetes



Very limited data for incretins (e.g. liraglutide, saxagliptine, sitagliptine, vildagliptine) and exenatide in HIV patients; no clinically significant drugdrug interaction expected; clinical use of pioglitazone questioned by its side effects

Management of patients with diabetes

Treatment goals: glucose control (HbA1c < 6.5-7 % without hypoglycaemia, fasting plasma glucose 4-6 mmol/L (73-110 mg/dL)

- Normal blood lipids (see p. <u>31</u>) and blood pressure
 130/80 mmHg (see p. <u>27</u>)
- Acetylsalicylic acid (75-150 mg/d) considered in diabetics with elevated underlying CVD risk (see p. 26)
- Nephropathy, polyneuropathy and retinopathy screening should be performed as in diabetic patients without HIV
- Consultation with a specialist in diabetology is recommended

Dyslipidaemia: management

Principles:

Higher LDL-c levels increase risk of CVD and reduction thereof reduces this risk (see table below for drugs used on this indication); the reverse is true for HDL-c. The CVD risk implications from higher than normal TG levels are less clear, as TG independently does not predict well the risk of CVD and since the clinical benefit of treating moderate hypertriglyceridaemia is uncertain; very high TG (> 10 mmol/L

or > 900 mg/dL) may increase risk of pancreatitis although direct evidence is lacking. Diet (more fish), exercise, maintaining normal body weight, reducing alcohol intake and stopping smoking tends to improve dyslipidaemia; if not effective, consider change of ART and then consider lipid-lowering medication in high-risk patients (see p. <u>26</u>).

Drugs used to lower LDL-c

DRUG CLASS	DRUG	DOSE	SIDE EFFECTS	ADVISE ON USI TOGETHER V	
				use with PI/r	use with NNRTI
	Atorvastatin (ii)	10-80 mg qd		Start with low dose (v) (max: 40 mg)	Consider higher dose (vi)
	Fluvastatin (iii)	20-80 mg qd	Gastrointestinal symptoms,	Consider higher dose (vi)	Consider higher dose (vi)
Statin (i)	Pravastatin (iii)	20-80 mg qd	headache, insomnia, rhabdomyolysis	Consider higher dose (vi,vii)	Consider higher dose (vi)
	Rosuvastatin (ii)	5-40 mg qd	(rare) and toxic hepatitis	Start with low dose (v) (max: 20 mg)	Start with low dose (v)
	Simvastatin (ii)	10-40 mg qd		Contraindicated	Consider higher dose (vi)
Cholesterol uptake↓ ⁽ⁱ⁾	Ezetimibe (iv)	10 mg qd	Gastrointestinal symptoms	No known drug-drug in	teractions with ART

i A statin is preferred first-line therapy; different statins have variable intrinsic LDL-c lowering ability ii, iii, iv. Target levels for LDL-c: see p. 26. In persons where LDL-c targets are difficult to achieve, consult/refer to specialist

ii, iii, iv Expected range of reductions of LDL-c: ii 1.5-2.5 mmol/L (60-100 mg/dL), iii 0.8-1.5 mmol/L (35-60 mg/dL), iv 0.2-0.5 mmol/L (10-20 mg/dL)

v, vi The ART drug may v inhibit (statin toxicity, ↓ dose) or vi induce (=less effect of statin, ↑ dose gradually to achieve expected benefit ii, iii) the excretion of the statin

vii **Exception:** If used with **DRV/r**, start with lower dose of **pravastatin**

Depression: diagnosis and management

Significance

- Higher prevalence of depression in HIV-infected patients (20-40 % versus 7 % in general population) due to stigma, sexual dysfunction, side effects of cART, co-morbidities
- Significant disability associated with depression

Screening and diagnosis

Who?	How to screen	How to diagnose
Risk population Positive history of depression in family Depressive episode in personal history Older age Adolescence Patients with history of drug addiction, psychiatric, neurologic or severe somatic co-morbidity Use of EFV and other neurotropic incl. recreational - drugs	 Screen every 1-2 years Two main questions: Have you often felt depressed, sad or without hope in the last few months? Have you lost interest in activities that you usually enjoy? Special symptoms in men: Stressed, burn out, angry outbursts, coping through work or alcohol Rule out organic cause (hypothyroidism, Addison's disease, non-HIV drugs, vit B12 deficiency) 	Symptoms – evaluate regularly At least 2 weeks of depressed mood OR A. Loss of interest OR B. Diminished sense of pleasure PLUS 4 out of 7 of the following: 1. Weight change of ≥ 5 % in one month or a persistent change of appetite 2. Insomnia or hypersomnia on most days 3. Changes in speed of thought and movement 4. Fatigue 5. Feelings of guilt and worthlessness 6. Diminished concentration and decisiveness 7. Suicidal ideation or a suicide attempt

Management

Degree of depression	Number of symptoms (see diagnosis: A-C + 1-7)	Treatment	Refer to expert	
No	< 4			
Mild	4	Problem-focused consultation, consider antidepressive treatment ⁽ⁱ⁾ , recommend physical activity	Severe depressionDepression not responding to treatmentSuicidal ideation	
Intermediate	5-6	Start antidepressive treatment (1), consider referral	Complex situations such as drug addiction, anxiety disorders, personality	
Severe	> 6	Refer to expert	disorders, dementia, acute severe life events	

i Maximum effectiveness reached after 10 weeks, one episode usually 6 months treatment. Optimize treatment, i.e. increase dosage or change drug if side effects. Partial or no response after 4-6 weeks of antidepressant treatment at adequate dosage: reassess diagnosis. Depression in persons ≥ 65 years generally requires relatively low doses of antidepressants. Preferred antidepressants for HIV-infected patients: sertralin, paroxetin, venlafaxine, citalopram, mirtazapin, but other antidepressants may also be given. Citalopram may be preferred because of low interactions. For classification, doses, safety and side effects of antidepressants, see p. 34

For interactions with antidepressants, see www.hiv-druginteractions.org and Interactions between antidepressants and antiretroviral agents

Classification, doses, safety and side effects of antidepressants

Mechanisms of action and	Starting dose	Standard dose	Lethality in	Insomnia and	Seclation	Nausea or	Sexual	Weight gain
classification	/bm	mg/day	overdose	agitation		effects	dysfunction	, , , ,
Selective serotonin-reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs)	n-reuptake inhibite	ors (SSRIs)						
Paroxetine	20	20-40	wol	+	- Or +	+	+	+
Sertraline	50	50-150	wol	+	- 0r +	+	+	+
Citalopram	20	20-40	wol	+	- Or +	+	+	+
Mixed or dual-action	Mixed or dual-action reuptake inhibitors	tors						
Venlafaxine	37-75	75-225	moderate	+	- 0r +	+	+	- 0r +
Mixed-action newer agents	er agents							
Mirtazapine (5-HT2 plus 5-HT3 plus α2-adrenergic receptors)	30	30-60	low	- 0ľ +	‡	- Of +	- Or +	‡

= none; + = moderate; ++ = severe

Bone disease: diagnosis, prevention and management

DIAGNOSTIC TESTS	DXA scan Rule out secondary causes if BMD abnormal (**) Lateral spine X-rays (lumbar and thoracic) if BMD suggests osteoporosis, or significant height loss or kyphosis develops	Measure 25-OH vitamin D in all patients at presentation ng/mL nmol/L Deficiency < 10 < 25 Insufficiency < 20 < 50 If deficient, check PTH levels Consider vitamin D replacement if clinically indicated (see vitamin D table, p. 36)	MRI
RISK FACTORS	Consider classic risk factors (1) Consider DXA in any patient with ≥ 1 of: (1) 1. Postmenopausal women 2. Men ≥ 50 years 3. History of low impact fracture or high risk for falls (11) 4. Clinical hypogonadism (symptomatic - see table on sexual dysfunction, p. 47) 5. Oral glucocorticoid use (minimum 5 mg prednisone equivalent for > 3 months) Preferably perform DXA in those with above risk factors prior to ART initiation. Assess effect of risk factors on fracture risk by including DXA results in the FRAX® score (www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX) - Only use if > 40 years - May underestimate risk in HIV patients - Consider using HIV as secondary cause of osteoporosis (14)	Dietary deficiency Lack of sunlight exposure Dark skin Malabsorption Renal phosphate wasting	Risk factors: • Advanced HIV disease (low CD4 + T-cell counts) • Glucocorticoid exposure • Intravenous drug use
CHARACTERISTICS	Reduced bone mass Increased risk of fractures Asymptomatic until fractures occur Common in HIV Up to 60 % prevalence of osteopenia Up to 10-15 % prevalence of osteoporosis Aetiology multifactorial Loss of BMD observed with antiretroviral initiation	Defective bone mineralisation Increased risk of fractures and bone pain Vitamin D deficiency may cause proximal muscle weakness High prevalence (> 80 %) of vitamin D insufficiency in some HIV cohorts	 Infarct of epiphyseal plate of long bones resulting in acute bone pain Rare but increased prevalence in HIV
CONDITION	• Postmenopausal • Postmenopausal women and men aged ≥ 50 years T-score -1 to ≥ -2.5 • Postmenopausal women and men aged ≥ 50 years T-score < -2.5 • Premenopausal women and men aged < 50 years Z-score ≤ -2 and fragility fracture	Osteomalacia	Osteonecrosis

Classic risk factors: older age, female gender, hypogonadism, family history of hip fracture, low BMI (< 19 kg/m²), vitamin D deficiency, smoking, physical inactivity, history of low impact fracture, alcohol excess (> 3 units/day), steroid exposure (minimum prednisone 5 mg or equivalent for > 3 months)

iii Falls Risk Assessment Tool (FRAT) (www.health.vic.gov.au/agedcare/maintaining/falls/downloads/ph_frat.pdf)

iv Hyperparathyroidism, hyperthyroidism, malabsorption, hypogonadism/amenorrhoea, autoimmune disease, diabetes mellitus, chronic liver disease

i If T-score normal, repeat after 3-5 years in groups 1 and 2, no need for re-screening with DXA in groups 3 & 4 unless risk factors change and only rescreen group 5 if steroid use ongoing.

Vitamin D deficiency: diagnosis and management

Vitamin D	Test	Therapy ⁽¹⁾
Deficiency: < 10 ng/mL (< 25 nmol/L) (ii) Insufficiency: < 20 ng/mL (< 50 nmol/L)	25-hydroxyvitamin D (25[OH]D) If deficient, consider checking parathyroid hormone (PTH), calcium, phosphate (iii), alkaline phosphatase	If vitamin D deficient, replacement recommended. Various regimens suggested (iv) After replacement, maintenance with 800-2000 IU vitamin D daily
Factors associated with lower vitamin D: Dark skin Dietary deficiency Avoidance of sun exposure Malabsorption Obesity Chronic kidney disease Some antiretrovirals (*)	Check vitamin D status in patients with history of: • low bone mineral density and/or fracture • high risk for fracture • chronic kidney disease Consider assessment of vitamin D status in patients with other factors associated with lower vitamin D levels (see left column)	Consider replacement in patients with vitamin D insufficiency (vi) and: • osteoporosis • osteomalacia • increased PTH (once the cause has been identified) Consider retesting after 6 months of vitamin D intake

i Can be provided according to national recommendations/availability of preparations (oral and parenteral formulations). Combine with calcium where there is insufficient dietary calcium intake. Consider that in some countries food is artificially fortified with vitamin D.

ii Some experts consider a value of ≤ 30 ng/mL as vitamin D deficiency. Low vitamin D has a prevalence of up to 80 % in HIV cohorts and was associated with increased risk for osteoporosis, type 2 diabetes, mortality and AIDS events. Consider seasonal differences (during winter approximately 20 % lower than during summer).

iiii Consider that hypophosphataemia can be associated with TDF therapy. This phosphate loss through proximal renal tubulopathy may be independent of low vitamin D (see table "Drug-associated nephrotoxicity"). A combination of low calcium + low phosphate +/- high alkaline phosphatase may indicate osteomalacia and lack of vitamin D.

iv Expect that 100 IU vitamin D daily leads to an increase of 1 ng/mL. Some experts prefer a loading dose of e.g. 10,000 IU vitamin D daily for 8-10 weeks in patients with vitamin D deficiency. The principal goal is to achieve a serum level > 20 ng/mL and to maintain normal serum PTH levels. The therapeutic aim is to maintain skeletal health; vitamin D supplementation has not been proven to prevent other co-morbidities in HIV-patients.

v The role of HIV-therapy or specific drugs remains unclear. Some studies suggest an association of efavirenz with reductions in vitamin D.

vi The implications of vitamin D levels that are below the physiological reference range but not markedly reduced and the value of supplementation are incompletely understood.

Kidney disease: diagnosis

		eGFR ⁽ⁱ⁾		
		≥ 60 mL/min	30-59 mL/min	< 30 mL/min
	UP/C (iii) < 50	Regular Follow-up		
Proteinuria (ii)	UP/C (iii) 50-100	 Check risk factors for CKD and including ART (iv) Discontinue or adjust drug dos Perform renal ultrasound If haematuria present with any nephrologist. Refer to nephrologist if new CkeGFR 	ages where appropriate (v) level of proteinuria refer to	Check risk factors for CKD and nephrotoxic medication including ART (iv) Discontinue or adjust drug dosages where appropriate (v) Perform renal ultrasound Urgent referral to nephrologist
	UP/C (iii) > 100			

Management of HIV-associated renal disease (vi)

Comment
Start ART immediately where HIV-associated nephropathy (HIVAN) (vii) or HIV immune complex disease strongly suspected. Renal biopsy to confirm histological diagnosis recommended
Monitor eGFR and K+ level closely on starting treatment or increasing dose
a.Blood pressure target: < 130/ 80 mmHg
CKD and proteinuria are independent risk factors for CVD

- i eGFR: use aMDRD based on serum creatinine, gender, age and ethnicity. If not previously known to have CKD, reassess within 2 weeks
- iii Urinalysis: use urine dipstick to screen for haematuria. To screen for proteinuria, use urine dipstick and if ≥ 1+ check UP/C, or screen with UP/C. Proteinuria defined as persistent if confirmed on ≥ 2 occasions > 2-3 weeks apart. If UP/C not available, use UA/C (see note iii)
- iii UP/C in spot urine (mg/mmol) is preferred to UA/C as detects total urinary protein secondary to glomerular AND tubular disease. UA/C largely detects glomerular disease and can be used for screening for HIV associated renal disease where UP/C is not available, but is not appropriate for screening for tubular proteinuria secondary to drug nephrotoxicity (e.g. tenofovir). Screening values for UA/C are: < 30, 30-70 and > 70. UA/C should be monitored in patients with diabetes mellitus. UPC ratio is calculated as urine protein (mg/L) / urine creatinine (mmol/L), may also be expressed as mg/mg. Conversion factor for mg to mmol creatinine is x 0.000884.
- iv Check risk factors for CKD, and repeat eGFR and urinalysis as per screening table (see p. 6)
- v Dose modification of ARVs in case of impaired renal function: see Appendix for "Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy"
- vi Joint management with a nephrologist
- vii HIVAN suspected if black ethnicity & UP/C > 100 mg/mmol & no haematuria
- viii See p. 31
- ix See p. 29

ART: Drug-associated nephrotoxicity

Renal abnormality	Antiretroviral drug	Management
Proximal tubulopathy: 1. Proteinuria: urine dipstick > 1, or confirmed clinically significant increase in UP/C (i) 2. Progressive decline in eGFR and eGFR < 90 mL/min (ii) 3. Phosphaturia (iii): confirmed hyophosphataemia secondary to increased urine phosphate leak	Tenofovir	Assessment: • Tests for proximal renal tubulopathy/renal Fanconi syndrome (iii) • Bone DEXA scan if hypophosphataemia with phosphaturia Consider stopping tenofovir if: • Progressive decline in eGFR and no other cause • Confirmed significant hypophosphataemia of renal origin and no other cause • Significant osteopaenia in the presence of phosphaturia/renal tubulopathy
Nephrolithiasis: 1. Crystalluria 2. Haematuria (iv) 3. Leucocyturia 4. Loin pain 5. Acute renal insufficiency	Indinavir Atazanavir	Assessment • Urinalysis for crystalluria/stone analysis • Exclude other cause for nephrolithiasis • Renal tract imaging including CT scan Consider stopping atazanavir/indinavir if: • Confirmed renal stones. • Recurrent loin pain +/- haematuria
Interstitial nephritis: 1.Progressive decline in eGFR (ii) 2.Proteinuria/haematuria 3.Eosinophiluria (if acute)	Indinavir (atazanavir) ^(∨)	Assessment: Renal ultrasound Refer nephrologist Consider stopping indinavir if: Progressive decline in eGFR and no other cause

UP/C in spot urine: urine protein/creatinine ratio in mg/mmol, detects total urinary protein including protein of glomerular or tubular origin. The urine dipstick analysis primarily detects albuminuria as a marker of glomerular disease and is inadequate to detect tubular disease.

iii eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, according to the abbreviated MDRD formula (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease)


iii See Appendix for "Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy"

iv Microscopic haematuria is usually present

Atazanavir may cause decline in eGFR – also without clinical detected nephrolithiasis – but exact pathology and clinical significance remains unclear

Work-up and management of the HIV patient with increased ALT/AST

Identify potential cause of increased liver enzymes, using the following steps:



i Non alcoholic steato hepatitis

Management of HIV-positive patients with cirrhosis

Management of patients with cirrhosis should be done in collaboration with experts in liver disease. More general management guidance is depicted below – for management of established complications from cirrhosis, see Appendix:


Management of HIV patients with liver cirrhosis

Certain antiretrovirals with increased risk for hepatotoxicity such as tipranavir or nevirapine should preferably not be

used in this particular patient population. In ESLD, increased drug levels of Efavirenz have been described to occur and may increase the risk for CNS toxicity. Nevertheless, it is important to highlight that ART initiation in cirrhotic patients independently has been demonstrated to improve overall survival and is therefore strongly recommended in these patients when indicated

Child-Pugh classification of the severity of cirrhosis					
	Point (*)				
	1	2	3		
Total bilirubin, mg/dL (µmol/L)	< 2 (< 34)	2-3 (34-50)	> 3 (> 50)		
Serum albumin, g/L (µmol/L)	> 35 (> 507)	28-35 (406-507)	< 28 (< 406)		
INR	< 1.7	1.71-2.20	> 2.20		
Aggitag	None	Mild/Moderate	Severe		
Ascites	None	(diuretic responsive)	(diuretic refractory)		
		Grade I-II	Grade III-IV		
Hepatic encephalopathy	None	(or suppressed with medication)	(or refractory)		
(*) 5-6 points: Class A					
7-9 points: Class B					
10-15 points: Class C					

Algorithm for surveillance for varices and primary prophylaxis



Nutrition of cirrhotic patient

Caloric requirements

· 25-30 Kcal/Kg/day of normovolemic body weight

Protein requirements

- Protein restriction is controversial but still routinely implemented (esp. in patients with TIPSS) (1)
- Amount: 40-60 g/day or 0.8 g/Kg.day (of normovolemic body weight)
- · Type: rich in branched chain (non-aromatic) amino acids
- Some studies support that parental proteins carry less risk of encephalopathy since not converted by colonic bacteria into NH3

Micronutrients

· Thiamine, folic acid, Mg, Zn.

⁽i) TIPSS = Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Stent Shunt

Analgesia in patient with hepatic failure

- Although high-dose acetaminophen is a well-known hepatotoxin, most hepatologists permit the use of acetaminophen in patients with cirrhosis at doses up to 2 g/d.
- NSAID use may predispose patients with cirrhosis to develop GI bleeding. Patients with decompensated cirrhosis are at risk for NSAID-induced renal insufficiency, because
- of prostaglandin inhibition and worsening of renal blood flow
- Opiate analgesics are not contraindicated but must be used with caution in patients with preexisting hepatic encephalopathy.

Surveillance for hepatocellular carcinoma

- Ultrasound + alpha FP (i) every 6 months
- In case of suspicious lesion at US, perform CT scan (+arterial phase) or MRI
- Confirm diagnosis by fine needle aspiration or biopsy
- In case of alpha FP > 400 mg/mL (1) and hypervascular lesion, no histology is needed

When to refer for liver transplantation (ii)

Best to refer early as disease progresses rapidly =

MELD (ii) score 10-12 (listing at 15)

- · Decompensated cirrhosis
 - Ascites
 - Encephalopathy
 - Variceal bleeding
- Early hepatocellular carcinoma

i Alphafoetoprotein (alpha FP) may also be expressed in $\mu g/L$ (cut-off value of 400 is the same)

ii Unit for both S-creatinine and S-bilirubin is mg/dL (see p. 40 for conversion from μmol/L). MELD Score = 10 {0,957 Ln (serum creatinine (mg/dL)) + 0.378 Ln (total bilirubin (mg/dL)) + 1.12 Ln (INR) + 0.643}

Lipodystrophy: prevention and management

LIPOHYPERTROPHY	• No proven strategy. • ATV/r has been associated with more central fat gain than EFV • Weight gain expected with effective ART reflecting "return to health" type of response • Weight gain expected with effective ART reflecting "return to health" type of response • Weight reduction or avoidance of weight gain may decrease visceral adiposity • Avoid inhaled fluticasone (and potentially other inhaled corticosteroids) with ritonavir-boosted PI as it may cause Cushing syndrome or adrenal insufficiency • Avoid inhaled fluticasone (and potentially other inhaled corticosteroids) with ritonavir-boosted PI as it may cause Cushing syndrome or adrenal insufficiency • Avoid inhaled fluticasone (and potentially other inhaled corticosteroids) with ritonavir-boosted PI as it may reduce visceral adipose tissue and insufficiency • No prospective trials in HIV-infected patients to definitely indicate degree of diet and/or exercise needed to maintain reduction in visceral fat • Nay worsen subcutaneous lipoatrophy • Pharmacological interventions to treat lipohypertrophy have not been proven to provide long-term effects and may introduce new complications • Decreases visceral adipose tissue • Metformin • Decreases visceral adipose tissue in insulin resistant persons • May worsen subcutaneous lipoatrophy • Surgical therapy can be considered for localised lipomas/buffalo humps • Duration of effect variable
LIPOATROPHY	Prevention • Avoid d4T and ZDV or pre-emptively switch away from them • Regimens containing ritonavir-boosted PIs lead to more limb fat gain than regimens containing NNRTIs • Regimens not containing NNRTIs lead to more fat gain than regimens containing NNRTIs • CCR5 and integrase inhibitors have not been associated with lipoatrophy in registrational studies, although not in formal comparative studies • Management • Modification of ART • Switch d4T or ZDV to ABC or TDF: • Only ART modification proven to partially restore subcutaneous fat; increase in total limb fat ~400-500 g/year • Risk of toxicity from new drug (see p. 20) • Switch to regimen not including NRTIs • Increase in total limb fat ~400-500 g/year • May increase risk of dyslipidaemia • Surgical intervention • Offered for relief of facial lipoatrophy only

i Tesamorelin (growth hormone releasing factor) was shown to reduce visceral adipose tissue volume but this effect was lost on discontinuation; the drug is not currently licensed in Europe

Travel

General precautions	 Delay travel until clinically stable and treatment established Provide drug prescription and referral letter for emergencies Provide medical certificate for import of personal medication/syringes Carry antiretrovirals split between suitcase and hand luggage Beware of fake drugs 		
Antiretroviral treatment	Maintain hours of medication (e.g. 23.00) when switching time zones, shortening the interval to the next dose when flying east		
Acknowledge increased susceptibility (1) of HIV+	1. Observe food hygiene Bacterial enterocolitis Intestinal parasitosis Prevent insect bites Malaria Yellow fever Leishmaniasis	 e.g. Salmonella, Shigella, Campylobacter Cyclospora, Cryptosporidium, Isospora, Microsporidia Repellents (DEET ≥ 30 %, Permethrin) chemoprophylaxis/emergency treatment (ii) cf. vaccination table Beware of sand flies (dogs) 	

Advice on travel restrictions – see: www.hivtravel.org

According to malaria risk at travel destination and national guidelines; adherence counselling is particularly important in patients visiting friends and relatives

Vaccination

- Vaccinate according to national guidelines for healthy population
- As vaccine responses may be significantly lower in HIV+, antibody titres should be considered to assess the indication and effectiveness of vaccinations
- Consider repeating vaccines performed at CD4 < 200/µL (14 %) after immune reconstitution
- For attenuated live vaccines (i) (in addition to restrictions for general population):
 - Varicella, measles, mumps, rubella, yellow fever contraindicated if CD4 < 200/μL (14 %) and/or AIDS
 - Oral typhoid, oral polio (OPV) contraindicated as inactivated vaccines are available

	Vaccination rationale in HIV+	comment
Varicella	Higher rate and severity of both chickenpox and zoster	Vaccinate if seronegative
Streptococcus pneumoniae	Higher rate and severity of invasive disease	 In adults use PPV-23 polysaccharide vaccine (ii) Consider delaying vaccination until CD4 ≥ 200/µL Consider (single) booster after 5 years (iii)
Influenza		Yearly
Human Papillomavirus	Shared risk with HIV of contracting infection. Higher rate of cervical and anal cancer	Vaccination of women and men according to national guidelines
Hepatitis B	Shared risk with HIV of contracting infection. HIV accelerates liver disease progression	Consider double dose (40 µg) and intradermal vaccination in non-responders, in particular with low CD4 and high viraemia. Repeat doses until HBs antibodies ≥ 10 IU/L / ≥ 100 IU/L according to national guidelines
Hepatitis A	According to risk profile (travel, MSM, IVDU, active hepatitis B or C infection)	Check antibody titres in high risk population
Yellow fever	Mandatory for travel to selected countries (provide exemption letter if no true risk of exposure)	Contraindicated if past or current haematological neoplasia or thymus affection Relatively contraindicated at age > 60y

i Administer live vaccines simultaneously or with an interval of 4 weeks

ii 13-valent conjugated vaccine may replace 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine as more immunogenic

iii Repetitive boosting may attenuate immune response

Hyperlactataemia: diagnosis, prevention and management (1)

Risk factors	Prevention/Diagnosis	Symptoms
 Use of ddl > d4T > ZDV HCV/HBV coinfection Use of ribavirin Liver disease Low CD4-cell count Pregnancy Female sex Obesity 	 Avoid d4T + ddl combination Routine monitoring of serum lactate levels not recommended - does not predict risk of lactic acidosis. Measurement of serum lactate, bicarbonate & arterial blood gases + pH indicated in case of symptoms suggestive of hyperlactataemia Close monitoring for symptoms if > 1 risk factor 	 Hyperlactataemia: unexplained nausea, abdominal pain, hepatomegaly, elevated ALT and/or AST, weight loss Acidaemia: asthenia, dyspnoea, arrhythmias Guillain-Barré-like syndrome

For management of lactic acidosis, see Appendix: Management of hyperlactataemia and management of lactic acidosis.

Assessment of sexual dysfunction in people living with HIV

Sexual dysfunction has been reported as a common problem in HIV-positive men (M) and women (W). The reduction in quality of life is also likely to be under-diagnosed. Guidelines for treatment of sexual dysfunction in the general population

are available for men but not women. Referral to endocrinologist, clinical psychologist, cardiologist or clinical pharmacologist, where appropriate, should be advised.


STEP 1	Taking a general sexual history:	Screening questions for all HIV+ persons:	How satisfied are you about your sex life? Do you experience sexual difficulties that need attention? Need for STD prevention? Contraception? Hopes of starting a family?		
	When sexual complaints exist:	phase(s) of the sexual response	1.Desire (Lack of sexual desire (libido); desire discrepancy with partner; aversion to sexual activity)		
STEP 2			2. Arousal (difficulties with physical and/or subjective sexual arousal; difficulties or inability to achieve or sustain an erection of sufficient rigidity for sexual intercourse (M) – (i.e. erectile dysfunction); lack or impaired nocturnal erections (M); difficulties lubricating (W); difficulties sustaining arousal		
		cycle does the problem occur?	3.Orgasm (difficulties experiencing orgasm)		
			4.Pain (pain with sexual activity; difficulties with vag (anxiety, muscle tension); lack of sexual satisfacti		
		Psychological or sociological problems?	Stigma, body image alteration, depression? Fear of infecting an HIV-negative partner?	Refer to clinical psychologist	
		Relevant co-morbidity?	Cardiovascular disease (note: if complete sexual response possible - e.g. with another partner, with masturbation or nocturnal - then no major somatic factors are involved)	Refer to urologist, andrologist, cardiologist	
STEP 3	Identify the causes:	Relevant medication, drugs, lifestyle factors?	Drugs associated with sexual dysfunction: (1) psychotropics (antidepressants, antiepileptics, antipsychotics, benzodiazepines), (2) lipid-lowering drugs (statins, fibrates), (3) antihypertensives (ACE-inhibitors, betablockers, alpha-blockers), (4) others (omeprazole, spironolactone, metoclopramide, finasteride, cimetidine); (5) contribution from antiretroviral drugs is controversial and benefit from switching studies is not proven.	Refer to clinical pharmacologist	
		Signs of hypogonadism in men?	Signs of testosterone insufficiency (reduced sexual arousability and libido; decreased frequency of sexual thoughts and fantasies; decreased or absent nocturnal erections; decreased genital sensitivity; loss of vitality; fatigue; loss of muscle mass and muscle strength and decreased body hair)	Refer to endocrinologist	

Treatment of sexual dysfunction in men living with HIV

Treatment of Erectile dysfunction	Treatment of Premature ejaculation	
Primarily oral PDE5-Is (sildenafil, tadalafil, vardenafil). • All at least 30 minutes before initiation of sexual activity	Consider behavioural interventions and/or psychosexual counselling.	
Use lower dose if on PI/r	SSRIs, tricyclic antidepressant, clomipramine, and topical anaesthetics.	
- sildenafil (25 mg every 48 hours) - tadalafil 5 mg initial dose with maximum dose 10 mg in	Use lower dose of clomipramine and other tricyclic antidepressants if on PI/r	
72 hours - vardenafil 2.5 mg maximum dose in 72 hours	Dapoxetine, short-acting SSRI, only drug approved for the on-demand treatment of premature ejaculation in	
Higher doses may be required if on EFV	Europe	
Tadalafil also licensed for use as an everyday ongoing therapy	Treatment must be maintained as recurrence is highly likely following withdrawal of medication	

Neurocognitive impairment: diagnosis and management

Algorithm for diagnosis and management of HIV-associated Neurocognitive Impairment (NCI)



Abbreviations

- · ANI=asymptomatic neurocognitive impairment
- CSF=cerebrospinal fluid
- GDR=genotypic drug resistance test
- · HAD=HIV-associated dementia
- HAND=HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder
- · IADL=instrumental activities of daily living
- · MND=mild neurocognitive disorders
- MRI=brain magnetic resonance imaging
- NP=neuropsychological

i Highly confounding conditions

- 1. Severe psychiatric conditions
- 2. Abuse of psychotropic drugs
- 3. Alcohol abuse
- 4. Sequelae from previous CNS-OIs or other neurological diseases
- 5. Current CNS-OIs or other neurological diseases
- ii 3 questions (ref. Simioni et al., AIDS 2009)
 - Do you experience frequent memory loss (e.g. do you forget the occurrence of special events even the more recent ones, appointments, etc.)?
 - 2. Do you feel that you are slower when reasoning, planning activities, or solving problems?
 - 3. Do you have difficulties paying attention (e.g. to a conversation, a book, or a movie)?

For each question, patients can answer: a) never, b) hardly ever, or c) yes, definitely.

Patients are considered to have an "abnormal" result when answering "yes, definitely" on at least one question.

iii NP examination will have to include tests exploring the following cognitive domains: fluency, executive functions, speed of information processing, attention/working memory, verbal and visual learning, verbal and visual memory, motor skills (ref. Antinori et al., Neurology 2007).

iv Brain MRI and CSF examination

These are required to further exclude other pathologies and to further characterize HAND, by including assessment of CSF HIV-RNA level and, where appropriate, evidence for genotypic drug resistance (GDR) in a paired CSF and plasma sample.

- v HAD and MND definitions (ref. Antinori et al., Neurology 2007).
 - HAD is defined in the presence of 1) marked acquired impairment in cognitive functioning involving at least 2 cognitive domains, as documented by performance of at least 2 SD below the mean for age-education appropriate norms on NP tests;
 2) marked interference in daily functioning;
 3) no evidence of another pre-existing cause for the dementia
 - MND is defined in the presence of 1) acquired impairment in cognitive functioning involving at least 2 cognitive domains, as documented by performance of at least 1 SD below the mean for age-education appropriate norms on NP tests; 2) mild interference in daily functioning; 3) no evidence of another pre-existing cause for the MND.
- vi If GDR in CSF and/or plasma not available, store aliquots for possible future use

vii Definition of 'potentially CNS-active' drugs

ARV drugs with either demonstrated clear CSF penetration when studied in healthy HIV-infected populations (concentration above the ${\bf lC90}$ in > 90 % examined patients) or with proven short-term (3-6 months) efficacy on cognitive function or CSF viral load decay when evaluated as single agents or in controlled studies in peer-reviewed papers:

- Agents with demonstrated clear CSF penetration:
 - NRTIs: ZDV, ABC
 - NNRTIs: EFV, NVP
 - Boosted PIs: IND/r, LPV/r, DRV/r
 - Other classes: MAR
- Drugs with proven "efficacy":
 - NRTIs: ZDV, d4T, ABC
 - Boosted PIs: LPV/r

Part IV Clinical management and treatment of chronic hepatitis B and C coinfection in HIV-infected adults

These Euroguidelines result from the short statement of the first European Consensus conference on the treatment of chronic hepatitis B and C in HIV coinfected patients (J Hepatol 2005; 42:615-624),

the updated recommendations from the HCV-HIV International Panel (Soriano V, Puoti M, Sulkowski M, Cargnel A, Benhamou Y, Peters M, Mauss S, Bräu N, Hatzakis A, Pol S, Rockstroh J: Care of patients coinfected with HIV and hepatitis C virus. AIDS 2007; 21:1073-1089),

the previous recommendations from the hepatitis panel of the European AIDS Clinical Society (JK Rockstroh, S Bhagani, Y

Benhamou, R Bruno, S Mauss, L Peters, M Puoti, V Soriano & C Tural) and the EACS Executive Committee: European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) Guidelines for the Clinical Management and Treatment of Chronic Hepatitis B and C Coinfection in HIV-infected Adults. HIV Medicine 2008; 9, 82–88)

as well as the revised website version from 2009 and from a discussion with the Coinfection panel.

General recommendations in patients with HIV and hepatitis coinfection

SCREENING

- 1. All HIV-infected patients should be screened for hepatitis C at diagnosis and then on an annual basis. Screening for HCV in HIV-infected patients should be done using an anti-HCV antibody test. A positive result should be followed by evaluation for the presence of HCV-RNA and the genotype should be determined. Patients with risk factors (ongoing IVDU, mucosal traumatic sex, ongoing unprotected anal intercourse, recent sexually transmitted infection) with unexplained increase in hepatic transaminases and a negative HCV antibody test should be offered an HCV-RNA test for early detection of a recent infection.
- 2. HIV-infected patients should be screened for hepatitis A and B. Patients from high prevalence countries for HBV, in particular those with elevated liver transaminases, should be screened for HBV-DNA in addition to HBs Ag to rule out occult HBV infection.
- 3. Hepatitis delta antibodies should be screened for in all HBsAg+ patients.
- 4. Patients with liver cirrhosis should be screened at 6-monthly intervals with serum alphafoetoprotein and hepatic ultrasound for the occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma. Routine screening is also advised for oesophageal varices at the time of diagnosis and at 1-2 year intervals thereafter. For non-cirrhotic HBV co-infected patients, HCC screening with 6-12 monthly US scans may be advisable for African patients over the age of 20, Asian patients over the age of 40, patients with a family history of HCC, and patients with high HBV DNA levels (> 2 000 IU/mL).

VACCINATION

5. Patients lacking anti-HAV IgG antibodies or anti-HBs antibodies should be offered vaccination for the respective virus to prevent infection regardless of their CD4-count. The response to the HBV vaccine is influenced by the CD4-count and level of HIV-RNA. In patients with low CD4-counts (< 200/μL) and ongoing HIV replication, ART should be initiated first prior to respective vaccination. HBsAg negative, anti-HBc only positive should be tested for anti-HBs, anti-HBe and HBV-DNA. Those without any corroborating markers for past HBV infection, or active occult infection, should also be offered vaccination against HBV. Anti-HBs response should be measured 2-4 weeks after a first HBV vaccination and if anti-HBs < 10 IU/L consider a full course of vaccination.

In case of insufficient response (anti-HBs < 10 IU/L), revaccination should be considered. Double-dose revaccination (40 $\mu g)$ at 3-4 vaccination time points (months 0, 1, 6 and 12) may help to improve response rates to HBV vaccination. Patients who fail to seroconvert after hepatitis B vaccination and remain at risk for HBV infection should have annual serological tests for evidence of HBV infection.

6. Hepatitis B and/or C coinfected patients benefit from early ART because liver fibrosis progression is reduced with immune reconstitution and suppression of HIV-RNA. Thus, ART initiation with a TDF-based regimen is recommended in all HBV coinfected patients with the need of anti-HBV therapy irrespective of CD4-counts, and in all HBs-Ag positive patients with less than 500 CD4-cells irrespective of HBV disease status to prevent transition to a more active HBV disease state due to immune suppression. In patients with chronic hepatitis C, ART initiation is recommended when CD4-counts drop below 500/µL. Stopping ART has been associated with enhanced risk for AIDS and non-AIDS related events in the SMART study and this risk was enhanced for patients with hepatitis coinfection. Particular prudence is warranted in HIV/HBV coinfected patients who stop anti-HBV containing ART.

END STAGE LIVER DISEASE (ESLD)

See "Management of HIV-positive patients with cirrhosis" - p. 40

PREVENTION/SUPPORT

- 7. Psychiatric, psychological, social and medical support should be made available to patients with alcohol intake to stop drinking.
- 8. Substitution therapy (opioid substitution therapy, see Appendix: Drug dependency and drug addiction) in patients with active drug abuse as a step towards cessation of active drug use should be considered; help provided (e.g. through needle and syringe exchange programme) reduces the risk of re-infection including parenteral viral transmission (harm reduction strategy).
- Since HBV and HIV, and occasionally HCV, are transmitted sexually, adequate counselling including the use of condoms is advisable. Information on the risk of HCV transmission due to mucosal traumatic sexual practices associated with a high likelihood of blood contact should be provided and risk reduction should be discussed.


Delta virus

In patients with Delta virus coinfection and significant liver fibrosis (> F2) long term (> 18 months) treatment with pegylated interferon might be considered in association with TDF-based ART. TDF has showed some efficacy, especially in patients with detectable serum HBV-DNA. Treatment efficacy should be monitored with: HBV-DNA and HDV-RNA measurement, when available, and with follow-up of biochemical and liver fibrosis estimates.

Patients with anti-HCV Ab and HCV-RNA reactivity should be offered anti-HCV treatment in order to induce a sustained virologic response for HCV coinfection. Anti-HDV pegylated interferon treatment could be interrupted according to patient's tolerance and then reinitiated in case of liver disease worsening. Persistent off-treatment HDV-RNA negativity and anti-HBs seroconversion are the ideal goals of antiviral treatment even if they can only be obtained in a minority of patients.


Histological remission of liver disease is a less ambitious but more likely achievable goal. In patients with ESLD or HCC, liver transplantation should be strongly considered especially in the absence of active HCV coinfection.

Assessment of treatment indication for HBV infection in HIV-positive individuals



Note: In patients with significant liver fibrosis (F2-F3), anti-HBV treatment might be considered even when serum HBV-DNA is below 2 000 IU/mL and liver enzymes are not elevated.

Treatment of chronic HBV infection in HIV-positive individuals



- i Cirrhotic patients should be referred for variceal assessment, have regular HCC monitoring and be referred early for transplant assessment. Patients with liver cirrhosis and low CD4-counts require careful surveillance in the first months after starting ART in order not to overlook immune-reconstitution syndrome and subsequent liver decompensation due to flares of liver enzymes.
- iii See p. <u>50</u> for assessment of HBV Rx indication. Some experts strongly believe that any HBV-infected patient requiring ART should receive TDF + 3TC or FTC unless history of TDF intolerance, particularly in HIV/HBV coinfected patients with advanced liver fibrosis (F3/F4). TDF administration should be adapted to creatinine clearance if necessary. Antiretroviral naive Asian, HBe-Ag+, HIV-coinfected patients initiating ART with TDF or TDF+FTC reached unexpected high rates of HBe (and even HBs) seroconversion, strengthening the rationale for early ART.
- iii If a patient is unwilling to go on early ART, adefovir and telbivudine may be used as an alternative to control HBV alone. A case report suggested possible anti-HIV activity of telbivudine. In-vitro data using an assay which was able to demonstrate anti-HIV activity of entecavir, however, failed to detect an influence of telbivudine on the replicative capacity of HIV-1. In patients with HBV genotype A, high ALT and low HBV-DNA, PegIFN might be used for a total length of 48 weeks. Recent data suggests that on-treatment quantification of HBsAg in patients with HBeAg-negative chronic hepatitis B treated with Peg-IFN may help identify those likely to be cured by this therapy and optimize treatment strategies. The optimal treatment duration for nucleos(t)ide analogues with anti-HBV activity has not yet been determined and experts recommend life-long therapy if anti-HBV nucleos(t)ides are given as part of ART. With patients not requiring ART and on treatment with telbivudine +/- adefovir, or those on ART where nucleoside backbone needs changing, anti-HBV therapy may be stopped cautiously in HBeAg+ patients who have achieved HBe-seroconversion for at least six months or after confirmed HBs-seroconversion in those who are HBeAg-. In patients with liver cirrhosis, a stop of effective anti-HBV treatment is not recommended in order to avoid liver decompensation due to flares of liver enzymes.
- In some cases of TDF intolerance (i.e. renal disease), TDF in doses adjusted to renal clearance in combination with effective ART may be advisable. If TDF is strictly contra-indicated, entecavir + adefovir may be tried and efficacy closely monitored, or TDF in doses adjusted to renal clearance in combination with effective ART may be advisable. NRTI substitution should only be performed if feasible and appropriate from the perspective of maintaining HIV suppression. Caution is warranted to switch from a TDF-based regimen to drugs with a lower genetic barrier, e.g. FTC/3TC, in particular in lamivudine-pretreated cirrhotic patients as viral breakthrough due to archived YMDD mutations has been observed. This has also been described in individuals with previous 3TC HBV-resistance who have been switched from TDF to entecavir. The addition of entecavir to TDF in patients with low persistent HBV replication has not statistically proved to be efficient and should therefore be avoided. Results of trials are being awaited.

Treatment recommendations for therapy of hepatitis C in HIV coinfection

- 1. HCV treatment offers the possibility of eradicating HCV within a defined treatment period. This is potentially advantageous for the subsequent management of the patient with HIV, and every coinfected patient should therefore be considered for treatment when the benefits of therapy outweigh the risks. This also needs to be seen in the context of faster liver fibrosis progression in HIV/HCV coinfection and with better HCV treatment outcome with improved management in these patients.
- 2. Information on liver fibrosis staging is important for making therapeutic decisions in coinfected patients. However, a liver biopsy is not mandatory for considering treatment of chronic HCV. Current therapy is particularly recommended in patients with a high likelihood of achieving sustained virological response (SVR): genotypes 2 or 3 and patients infected with genotype 1 if the viral load is low (< 600,000 IU/mL) and/or if the IL28B-CC genotype is present ⁽ⁱ⁾.

Based on 4 baseline variables (serum HCV-RNA, HCV genotype, liver fibrosis staging using elastometry, and IL28B genotyping), the Prometheus index has recently been developed and can optionally be used as a risk calculator for predicting the likelihood of SVR using Peg-IFN-ribavirin therapy in HIV-HCV coinfected patients. It is freely available on the web (http://ideasydesar-rollo.com/fundacion/prometheusindex.php), like the Framingham score for predicting cardiovascular risk.

Insulin resistance (which can be determined using the homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance HOMA IR) has been reported as a negative predictor of achievement of SVR. Also vitamin-D levels may be measured and in case of vitamin-D deficiency, corrected by corresponding supplementation prior to HCV treatment initiation.

- 3. In case of the availability of a liver biopsy or FibroScan demonstrating lower stages of liver fibrosis (F0-F1), regardless of HCV genotype, treatment can be deferred. This may also account for patients with low fibrosis stages and low chances of SVR under the current treatment options (i.e. IL28B genotype TT) for whom improved treatment options will become available within the coming years. In these cases, fibrosis assessment should be carried out at frequent intervals to monitor for fibrosis progression.
- 4. The combination of Peg-IFN alpha and ribavirin (RBV) is the treatment of choice for HCV infection. The standard dose for Peg-IFN 2a is 180 µg once weekly, and for Peg-IFN 2b it is 1.5 µg/kg bodyweight once weekly. An initial weight-adapted dose of RBV of 1000 (wt ≤ 75 kg) -1200 (wt > 75 kg) mg/day (administered bid) is recommended for all HCV genotypes in the HIV setting. With the expected registration of the first oral direct acting antivirals (DAAs) telaprevir and boceprevir mid 2011 in the US and somewhat later in Europe, treatment recommendations for hepatitis C genotype 1 patients will change depending on the availability of the respective agents. As so far only interim data is available (12-week treatment response data) with telaprevir, no treatment recommendations for boceprevir can be made until first results from pilot trials in HIV/HCV coinfection become available. For patients with HCV genotype 1 infection, telaprevir can be added to Peg-IFN/RBV standard treatment for 12 weeks at 750 mg every 8 hours. In case of successful treatment response at week 4 (HCV-RNA < 1000 IU/mL), telaprevir should be continued until week 12. If HCV-RNA at week 12 is still < 1000 IU/mL, dual therapy with Peg-IFN/RBV should be continued until week 24. If HCV-RNA is < 20 IU/mL at week 24, dual therapy with Peg-IFN/RBV should be continued for another 24 weeks resulting in a total treatment duration of 48 weeks. Due to drug-drug interactions and limited druginteraction studies, telaprevir can currently only be safely combined with boosted ATV or EFV (with EFV, telaprevir doses need to be increased to 1125 mg every 8 hours) in combination with TDF or ABC and FTC or 3TC. Data in combination with RAL is to be published shortly (please also check <u>www.hep-druginteractions.org</u>).

i A genetic polymorphism nearby the IL28B gene, encoding interferon-lambda-3 (IFN-lambda-3), was recently associated with an approximately two-fold change in response to peginterferon-ribavirin treatment. Because the CC genotype leading to better response is significantly more frequent in European than African populations, this genetic polymorphism also explains approximately half of the difference in response rates between African-Americans and patients of European ancestry.

- The primary aim of anti-HCV treatment is sustained virological response defined as undetectable serum HCV-RNA 24 weeks after the end of therapy, evaluated using sensitive molecular tests.
- 6. If chronic hepatitis C is detected early in the course of HIV infection (before the initiation of ART is necessary), treatment for chronic HCV is advised. For patients with a CD4-count < 500/μL early ART initiation is recommended to optimize HCV treatment outcome. However, if a coinfected patient has significant immunodeficiency (CD4count < 350 cells/μL), the CD4-count should be improved using ART prior to commencing anti-HCV treatment. Patients with a CD4 relative percentage > 25 % are more likely to achieve SVR than lower CD4 percentage.
- 7. If an early virological response (decline of at least 2 log10 reduction in HCV-RNA at week 12 compared to baseline) is not achieved, treatment should be stopped (see p. <u>57</u>). Different stopping rules may apply when DAAs are being used as HCV therapy; however, it is too early to make recommendations for HIV/HCV coinfected patients. In the setting of using a HCV protease inhibitor, an increased risk for emergence of resistance will occur with persistent HCV replication and selective drug pressure by continuous drug application.

- 8. During Peg-IFN plus ribavirin therapy, ddl is contraindicated in patients with cirrhosis and should be avoided in patients with less severe liver disease. D4T and ZDV should also be avoided if possible. ABC can be safely used with concomitant HCV therapy if appropriate ribavirin dosages (weight adapted see point 4) are being used.
- 9. Identification of patients with acute hepatitis C is important since treatment in the acute phase leads to higher SVR rates than for treatment of chronic HCV infection. In patients with acute HCV infection, HCV-RNA should be measured at initial presentation and 4 weeks later. Treatment should be offered in patients without a decrease of 2 log10 of HCV-RNA at 4 weeks compared with initial HCV-RNA and to patients with persistent serum HCV-RNA 12 weeks after diagnosis of acute HCV. Duration of treatment should be based on rapid virological response (RVR) regardless of genotype (see p. 58). Patients who do not achieve a ≥ 2 log10 decrease in HCV-RNA level at week 12 should discontinue therapy. Unfortunately, results from randomized prospective treatment trials are not available so far to allow a more precise recommendation on treatment duration or role of ribavirin in treatment of acute hepatitis C at this point.

Diagnostic procedures for hepatitis C in HIV coinfection

Diagnosis of hepatitis C

HCV-Ab (positive 1-5 months after infection, may rarely be lost with immunosuppression)

HCV-RNA levels (in particular, important for the prediction of response to treatment)

Status of liver damage

Grading of fibrosis (e.g. FibroScan, liver biopsy, serum fibrosis markers (ii)

Hepatic synthetic function (e.g. coagulation, albumin, CHE)

See "Management of HIV-positive patients with cirrhosis" - p. 40

Before HCV treatment

HCV genotype and serum HCV-RNA

IL28B

Autoantibodies (ANA, LKM1) (iii)

TSH, thyroid autoantibodies

Monitoring of HCV treatment

Differential blood count and liver enzymes every 2-4 weeks

HCV-RNA at week 4 (to evaluate rapid virological response), and weeks 12, 24 and 48 (72 if applicable) and 24 weeks after stopping HCV therapy

CD4-count every 12 weeks


TSH every 12 weeks

i Low viral load defined as less than 400,000 - 500,000 IU/mL when using PegIFN+RBV. There is no standard conversion formula for converting the amount of HCV-RNA reported in copies/mL to the amount reported in IU/ mL. The conversion factor ranges from about one to five HCV-RNA copies per IU/mL.

ii Serum fibrosis markers include APRI, FIB-4, Hyaluronic acid, Fibrometer, Fibrotest, Forns, Hepascore and other indices; recently more complex tests such as Fibrometer, Fibrotest and Hepascore have shown to more accurately predict liver fibrosis than simple biochemical tests such as APRI. FIB-4 or Forns.


iii Patients with positive anti LKM or ANA with homogeneous pattern should be evaluated for concurrent autoimmune hepatitis, especially in the presence of ALT elevation during treatment.

Proposed optimal duration of HCV therapy in HCV/HIV coinfected patients



i In patients with baseline low viral load (< 600 000 IU/mL) and minimal liver fibrosis.

Algorithm for management of acute HCV in HIV-infected individuals



Definitions of treatment response on PegIFN and ribavirin

	Time	HCV RNA
Rapid Virological Response (RVR)	Week 4 on treatment	Undetectable (< 50 IU/mL)
Early Virological Response (EVR)	Week 12 on treatment	Undetectable (< 50 IU/mL)
Delayed Virological Response (DVR)	Week 12 on treatment	> 2 log10 decrease from baseline but not undetectable
Null Response (NR)	Week 12 on treatment	< 2 log10 decrease from baseline
Partial Non-Response (PR)	Week 12 and week 24 on treatment	> 2 log10 decrease at week 12 but detectable at week 12 and 24
Sustained Virological Response (SVR)	24 weeks post-treatment	Undetectable (< 50 IU/mL)
Breakthrough	Any time during treatment	Reappearance of HCV RNA at any time during treatment after virological response
Relapse (RR)	End of treatment and week 24 post-treatment	Undetectable HCV RNA at end of therapy, detectable by week 24 post-therapy

 $\label{lem:adapted from EASL HCV CPG 2011 (www.easl.eu/assets/application/files/d0df9f948c85a72_file.pdf - accessed 07/05/2011)} \\$

Classification of and interventions for HCV/HIV-coinfected non-responders/relapsers to prior interferon-based therapies

CATEGORY	SUBGROUP	SUGGESTED INTERVENTION
Suboptimal treatment	 Suboptimal schedule Interferon (monotherapy or with ribavirin) Low ribavirin dose Short length of therapy 	Re-treatment using combination therapy with Peg-IFN plus weight-based ribavirin dosing
	Limiting toxicities & poor adherence	Optimal support (SSRI, paracetamol/ NSAID, adherence support, use of haematopoietic growth factors (i)
Optimal treatment with virological failure	Relapse	Re-treatment using combination therapy with Peg-IFN plus weight-based ribavirin dosing (consider longer treatment duration)
railure	Non response (no HCV-RNA negativization during treatment)	Wait until new antivirals become available through clinical trials or are licensed

i Data on the use of haematopoietic growth factors in HIV/HCV coinfection so far is limited to an improvement in quality of life but not antiviral efficacy; treatment with growth factors is currently mostly offlabel in Europe.

Appendix



Table of Contents

Lifestyle interventions	
Interactions between antidepressants and antiretroviral agents	
Dose adjustment of antiretrovirals for impaired renal function	
Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy (PRT)	
Antiretroviral dosing recommendations in patients with hepatic insufficiency	
Diagnosis and management of hepatorenal syndrome (HRS)	
Antimalarial drugs & cART	
Drug dependency and drug addiction	
Management of hyperlactataemia and management of lactic acidosis	
IADL (Instrumental Activities of Daily Living) scale	
Management of HIV patients with liver cirrhosis	XIV
• References	XVI
Conflicts of interest	

Lifestyle interventions (i)

Brief unambiguous statement about need to stop smoking · If patient is not contemplating, try to motivate and emphasize positive short-term aspects (more money for better things, better taste for food, better skin, less dyspnoea), and long-term benefits (prevention of COPD, IHD, stroke, lung cancer) If patient is contemplating, try to fix stop date, establish reward system **Smoking** · Use nicotine substitution (patch, chewing gum, spray), varenicline or bupropion (note: both drugs cessation may cause central nervous system side effects including suicide; bupropion may interact with PI and NNRTI) during weaning phase if necessary Consider referring patient to specialized stop smoking clinics Anticipate relapses, explain and consider them as part of the weaning process to final nicotine abstinence · Dietary intervention should not interfere with the dietary requirements necessary for appropriate absorption of ART drugs Keep caloric intake balanced with energy expenditure · Limit intake of saturated fat, cholesterol and refined carbohydrates Reduce total fat intake to < 30% and dietary cholesterol to < 300 mg/day Emphasize intake of vegetables, fruit and grain products with fibre • Emphasize consumption of fish, poultry (without skin) and lean meat Consider referral to dietician, one week food and drink diary to discover 'hidden' calories Avoid binge eating ('yo-yo dieting') Dietary • In patients with HIV-related wasting and dyslipidaemia, address wasting first and consider referral counselling to dietician · Patients who are obviously overweight should be motivated to lose weight. Starvation diets are not recommended (immune defence mechanisms potentially decreased). Malnutrition has to be addressed where observed. Normal BMI range: 18.5-24.9; Overweight: 25.0-29.9, Obesity: $> 30.0 \text{ kg/m}^2$ • Intake of alcohol should be restricted to < 20-40 g/d. In particular, patients with hepatic disease, adherence problems, inadequate CD4 T cell increase, tumours, past tuberculosis, diarrhoea and other conditions associated with high alcohol intake should be motivated to decrease or stop alcohol intake. · Promote active lifestyle to prevent and treat obesity, hypertension and diabetes Encourage self-directed moderate level physical activity (take the stairs, cycle or walk to work, **Exercise** cycling, swimming, hiking etc.) • Emphasize regular moderate-intensity exercise rather than vigorous exercise promotion Achieve cardiovascular fitness (e.g. 30 minutes brisk walking > 5 days a week) · Maintain muscular strength and joint flexibility

i Based on recommendations by the US Preventive Services Task Force

Interactions between antidepressants and antiretroviral agents

Interacting drug	ARV	Effect of interaction	Recommendation
Sertraline	EFV	sertraline AUC decreased by 39%	titrate to effect
Sertialine	DRV	sertraline AUC decreased by 49%	titiate to enect
	FPV	paroxetine AUC decreased by 50%	
Paroxetine	DRV	paroxetine AUC decreased by 40%	titrate to effect
	RTV	may increase level of paroxetine	
Venlafaxine	RTV	may increase level of venlafaxine titrate to effect	
Citalopram	RTV	may increase level of citalopram titrate to effect	
Mirtazapine	Pls	may increase level of mirtazapine titrate to effect	

Metabolism of the antidepressants (bold major pathway): **Sertraline**: CYP **2B6**, 2C9, 2C19, 2D6, 3A4 (weak inhibitor of CYP 2D6, 3A4); **Paroxetine**: CYP **2D6** (inhibitor of CYP 2D6); **Venlafaxine**: CYP **2D6**, 3A4 (weak inhibitor of CYP 2D6); **Citalopram**: CYP **2C19**, 2D6, **3A4** (weak inhibitor of CYP 2D6); **Mirtazapine**: CYP **2D6**, **3A4**, 1A2.

Antidepressants do not modify PI and NNRTI concentrations. The antiretroviral agents may alter the antidepressant levels as summarized. No interactions are anticipated between the antidepressants and raltegravir. Venlafaxine (and to a lesser extent mirtazapine) has been associated with prolonged QT. This may be relevant in patients on PI and/or methadone who require antidepressants.

Dose adjustment of antiretrovirals for impaired renal function

NRTIS						
NRTIS		≥ 50	30-49	10-29	< 10	Hemodialysis
	≥ 60 kg	400 mg q24h	200 mg q24h	150 mg q24h	> 60 kg: 1	> 60 kg: 100 mg/24h
	< 60 kg	250 mg q24h	125 mg q24h	100 mg q24h	< 60 kg: 7	< 60 kg: 75 mg/24h
Emtricitabine		200 mg q24h	200 mg q48h	200 mg q72h	200 mg q96h	200 mg q96h
				Not recommended	Not recommended	
Tenofovir (vii)		300 mg q24h	300 mg q48h	(300 mg q72-96h, if no alternative)	(300 mg q7d, if no alternative)	300 mg q7d AD ^(N)
Lamivudine		300 mg q24h	150 mg q24h	100 mg q24h (iii)	50-25 mg q24h (^{III)}	50-25 mg q24h (III) AD (IV)
Zidovudine		300 mg q12h	No dose adjus	No dose adjustment required	100 mg q8h	100 mg q8h
Stavudine < 60 kg		30 mg q12h	15 mg q12h	15 mg q24h	15 mg q24h	15 mg q24h AD (W
Stavudine > 60 kg		40 mg q12h	20 mg q12h	20 mg q 24h	20 mg q 24h	20 mg q 24h AD 🕪
Abacavir		300 mg q12h	No dose adjus	No dose adjustment required	No dose adjustment required	No dose adjustment required
Abacavir/lamivudine	9					
Zidovudine/lamivudine	dine			Use individual drugs		
Zidovudine/lamivudine/ abacavir	dine/			0		
Emtricitabine/tenofovir	ovir	q24h	q48h		use individual drugs	
NNRTIS						
Nevirapine		200 mg q12h		No dose adjustment required	nent required	
Efavirenz		600 mg q24h		No dose adjustment required 🕅	ent required (v)	
Etravirine		200 mg q12h		No dose adjustment required 🕅	ent required (v)	

PIs		
Lopinavir/ritonavir	400/100 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required (v)
Darunavir/ritonavir	800/100 mg q24h	No dose adjustment required 💜
Atazanavir/ritonavir	300/100 mg q24h	No dose adjustment required (พพ)
Saquinavir/ritonavir	1000/100 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required 💜
Fosamprenavir/ritonavir	700/100 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required 💜
Tipranavir/ritonavir	500/200 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required (v)
Other ART		
Raltegravir	400 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required ^(v) (dose AD ^(iv))
Maraviroc: co- administered without CYP3A4 inhibitors (****)	300 mg q12h	No dose adjustment required
Maraviroc: co- administered with CYP3A4 inhibitors (viii)	if eGFR < 80 mL/min dose reduction required (Mil)	eduction required (viii)

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, according to the abbreviated MDRD formula (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease)

Dose reduction if combined with TDF

¹⁵⁰ mg loading dose

AD: after dialysis

Limited data available in patients with renal impairment, pharmacokinetic analysis suggests no dose adjustment required := :≣ .≥ >

Associated with nephrotoxicity, consider alternative PI if pre-existing CKD

Associated with nephrotoxicity, consider alternative ART if pre-existing CKD ⇒ ≅ ≅

Indications and tests for proximal renal tubulopathy (PRT)

Indications for proximal renal tubulopathy tests	Proximal renal tubulopathy tests ^(iv) , including	Consider stopping tenofovir if
 progressive decline in eGFR (1) & eGFR < 90 & no other cause and/or confirmed hypophosphataemia (ii) and/or confirmed increase in UP/C (iii) renal insufficiency even if stable (eGFR < 60) 	tubular proteinuria (*) blood phosphate and urinary phosphate excretion (*i) blood glucose and glucosuria serum bicarbonate and urinary pH (*ii) blood uric acid level and urinary uric acid excretion (*iii) serum potassium and urinary potassium excretion	confirmed proximal renal tubulopathy with no other cause

eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, according to the abbreviated MDRD formula (Modification of Diet in Renal Disease)

iii Serum phosphate < 0.8 mmol/L or according to local thresholds; consider renal bone disease, particularly if alkaline phosphatase increased from baseline: measure 25(OH) vitamin D, PTH

UP/C in spot urine: urine protein/creatinine ratio in mg/mmol, detects total urinary protein, including protein of glomerular or tubular origin. The urine dipstick analysis primarily detects albuminuria as a marker of glomerular disease and is inadequate to detect tubular disease

iv It is uncertain which tests discriminate best for tenofovir renal toxicity. Proximal tubulopathy is characterised by: proteinuria, hypophosphataemia, hypokalaemia, hypouricaemia, renal acidosis, glucosuria with normal blood glucose level. Renal insufficiency and polyuria may be associated. Most often, only some of these abnormalities are observed

Tests for tubular proteinuria include retinol binding protein, α1- or β2 microglobulinuria, cystatin C, aminoaciduria

Quantified as fractional excretion of phosphate (FE_{Phos}): (PO4 $_{\text{(urine)}}$) / PO4 $_{\text{(serum)}}$) / (Creatinine $_{\text{(urine)}}$ / Creatinine $_{\text{(serum)}}$) in a spot urine sample collected in the morning in fasting state. Abnormal > 0.2 (> 0.1 with serum phosphate < 0.8 mmol/L)

vii Serum bicarbonate < 21 mmol/L and urinary pH > 5.5 suggests renal tubular acidosis

viii Fractional excretion of uric acid (FE_{UricAcid}): (UricAcid_(urine) / UricAcid_(serum) / (Creatinine_(urine) / Creatinine_(serum)) in a spot urine sample collected in the morning in fasting state; abnormal > 0.1

Antiretroviral dosing recommendations in patients with hepatic insufficiency

Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Abacavir	Child-Pugh Score 5–6: 200 mg BID (use oral solution)	
Apacavir	Child-Pugh Score > 6: Contraindicated	
Didanosine	Contraindicated	
Diddiosille	If used no dosage adjustment	
Emtricitabine	No dosage adjustment	
Lamivudine	No dosage adjustment	
Stavudine	Contraindicated	
Stavuullie	If used no dosage adjustment	
Tenofovir	No dosage adjustment	
Emtricitabine (FTC) + tenofovir (TDF)	No dosage adjustment	
Zidovudine	Reduce dose by 50% or double the interval between doses	

Non-Nucleoside Reverse Transcriptase Inhibitors

Delavirdine	No dosage recommendation; use with caution in patients with hepatic impairment	
Efavirenz	No decade recommendation: use with equation in national with honging	
Efavirenz (EFV) + emtricitabine (FTC) + tenofovir (TDF)	No dosage recommendation; use with caution in patients with hepatic impairment	
Etravirine	Child-Pugh score < 10: no dosage adjustment	
Eulaviilile	Child-Pugh score > 9: no dosage recommendation	
Nevirapine	Child-Pugh score > 6: contraindicated	

Protease Inhibitors

Child-Pugh Score 7–9: 300 mg once daily Child-Pugh Score > 9: not recommended RTV boosting is not recommended in paties > 7)		
RTV boosting is not recommended in patie		
	RTV boosting is not recommended in patients with hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh Score > 7)	
Mild to moderate hepatic impairment: no d	osage adjustment	
Severe hepatic impairment: not recommer	nded	
PI-naive patients only:		
Child-Pugh Score 5–9: 700 mg BID		
Child-Pugh Score 10–15: 350 mg BID	Child-Pugh Score 10–15: 350 mg BID	
Fosamprenavir PI-experienced patients:		
Child-Pugh Score 5–6: 700 mg BID + RTV	100 mg QD	
Child-Pugh Score 7–9: 450 mg BID + RTV	100 mg QD	
Child-Pugh Score 10–15: 300 mg BID + R	TV 100 mg QD	
Indinavir Mild to moderate hepatic insufficiency: 600) mg q8h	
Lopinavir/ritonavir No dosage recommendation; use with cau	tion in patients with hepatic impairment	
Mild hepatic impairment: no dosage adjustment		
Moderate to severe hepatic impairment: not recommended		
Ritonavir Refer to recommendations for the primary	Refer to recommendations for the primary PI	
Saquinavir Mild to moderate hepatic impairment: use	Mild to moderate hepatic impairment: use with caution	
Severe hepatic impairment: contraindicate	d	
Child-Pugh score < 7: use with caution		
Child-Pugh score > 6: contraindicated		

Fusion Inhibitor

Enfuvirtide	No dosage adjustment

CCR5 Antagonist

Maraviroc	No dosage recommendations. Concentrations will likely be increased in patients with hepatic impairment
-----------	--

Integrase Inhibitor

Raifedravir	Mild to moderate hepatic insufficiency: no dosage adjustment. Severe hepatic insufficiency: no recommendation
-------------	---

Note: Hepatic dysfunction is a good indication for Therapeutic Drug Monitoring (TDM) as clinical experience with these dose adjustments is very limited

Diagnosis and management of hepatorenal syndrome (HRS)

Diagnosis	a diagnosis of exclusion - be treated: • Sepsis (patient needs to be volume depletion (haemoe) • Vasodilatators • Organic renal failure (urine)	efore making the diagone be pancultured) rrhage, diarrhoea, ove e sediment; kidney ult nued and intravascula	rasound) ar volume expanded with i.v. albumin
Recommended therapy	Liver transplant (priority dependent on MELD score). If patient is on transplant list, MELD score should be updated daily and communicated to transplant centre.		
Alternative (bridging therapy)	Vasoconstrictors	Octreotide	100-200 mcg subcutaneously t.i.d
			→ Goal to increase mean arterial pressure by 15 mm HG
		+ Midodrine	5-15 mg orally t.i.d
		or Terlipressin (i)	0.5-2.0 mg intravenously every 4-6h
	and Intravenous albumin (both for at least 7 days)		50-100 g intravenously q.d.

Antimalarial drugs & cART

Arrows: indicate effect of antiretrovirals on antimalarial drug/key metabolite

Green: no clinically significant interaction expected

Yellow: potential interaction (consider treatment ahead of travel and therapeutic drug monitoring)

Red: clinically relevant interaction, do not use or use with caution

Antimalarial	Indication (i)	NNRTI	PI
Mefloquine (M) CYP 3A4	P/T	↓	↑ M may reduce PI (RTV ca 35%)
Artemisinins (A) (ii) CYP 2B6, 3A4, 2C19	Т	↑↓ Increase A (EFV) or key metabolite (NVP)	Increase A: monitor toxicity (liver)
Lumefantrin (L) CYP 3A4	Т	↓	↑ LPV increases L 2-3x
Atovaquone (A) (iii) Proguanil (iv) CYP 2C19	P/T	\rightarrow	RTV/ATV/LPV reduce A: consider dose increase
Doxycycline	Р	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Chloroquine CYP 3A4, 2D6	Т	\rightarrow	\rightarrow
Quinine (Q) CYP 3A4, 2D6	Т	↓ Consider dose increase	↑ RTV increases Q 4x: consider dose reduction, monitor toxicity (tinnitus)
Primaquine CYP 1A2, 2D6, 3A4	(P)/T	NA	NA

i P: use as prophylaxis, T: use as treatment

ii A and its key metabolite, dihydroartemisinin, are active compounds

iii A increases AZT levels by 35%

iv Synergy with A is related to P, not its active metabolite; therefore presumably no net effect of induction/inhibition

Drug dependency and drug addiction

Characteristics of drugs used as opioid substitution therapy (OST)

Feature	Methadone	Buprenorphine
Dose required to prevent withdrawal symptoms according to degree of opioid dependency	Linear relationship (from 10-300 mg pr day)	Linear relationship for persons with less opioid dependency only – ceiling effect (max daily dose 24 mg)
Interaction with ARVs	Methadone plasma concentrations are reduced if used together with NNRTIs or PIs: • NVP & EFV: ↓ 40-50% • ETV: ↓ < 10% • LPV/r: ↓ 50% • SQV/r, DRV/r, FPV/r: ↓ 10-25% • ATV, IDV: ↓ < 10%	Buprenorphine (B) and active metabolite norbuprenorphine (N) plasma concentrations are reduced if combined with NNRTIs and increased if combined with some PIs • NVP & EFV: ↓ up to 50% (B) and 70% (N) • ATV/r, IDV, SQV/r: ↑ 50-100% (B&N) • DRV/r: ↑ 50% (N) • LPV/r: ↑ < 10% (B&N) • CAVE: B reduces ATV, do not use without r/
	CAVE: withdrawal symptoms if combine concentration and risk of drug toxicity if ARVs increase plasma concentration	
Risk of overdose	Yes	No if used as a co-formulation with naloxone
Causing QT prolongation on ECG	Yes (dose-response relationship) (1)	No
Risk of obstipation	High	High
Type of administration	Tablet or liquid	Tablet applied sublingual
Risk of further impairment in persons with existing liver impairment	Yes	Yes

i ECG recommended for daily methadone doses exceeding 50 mg; special caution with concomitant use of other drugs known to cause QT prolongation (e.g. certain PIs such as SQV/r as well as albuterol (USAN) or salbutamol (INN), amiodarone, amitriptyline, astemizole, chloroquine, clomipramine and moxifloxacin).

Management of hyperlactataemia and management of lactic acidosis

Risk factors	Prevention/Diagnosis	Symptoms
 Use of ddI > d4T > ZDV HCV/HBV coinfection Use of ribavirin Liver disease Low CD4 cell count Pregnancy Female sex Obesity 	 Avoid d4T + ddl combination Routine monitoring of serum lactate levels not recommended - does not predict risk of lactic acidosis. Measurement of serum lactate, bicarbonate & arterial blood gases + pH indicated in case of symptoms suggestive of hyperlactataemia Close monitoring for symptoms if > 1 risk factor 	 Hyperlactataemia: unexplained nausea, abdominal pain, hepatomegaly, elevated ALT and/ or AST, weight loss Acidaemia: asthenia, dyspnoea, arrhythmias Guillain-Barré-like syndrome

Management

Serum Lactate (mmol/L)	Symptoms	Action
> 5 (1)	Yes/No	 Repeat test under standardized conditions to confirm & obtain arterial pH and bicarbonate (i) If confirmed, exclude other causes Arterial pH ↓ and/or bicarbonate ↓ (i): Stop NRTIs Arterial pH and/or bicarbonate normal: Consider switch from high to low risk NRTI & monitor carefully OR stop NRTIs
2-5	Yes	Exclude other causes; if none found: watchfully follow up OR consider switch from high to low risk NRTI, OR stop NRTI
2-5	No	Repeat test If confirmed, watchfully follow up
< 2		None

Management of lactic acidosis (irrespective of serum-lactate level)

Admit patient. Stop NRTIs. Provide intravenous fluids. Vitamin supplementation can be used (vitamin B complex forte 4 mL bid, riboflavin 20 mg bid, thiamine 100 mg bid; L-carnitine 1000 mg bid), although benefit is unproven

i Lactic acidosis is a rare but life-threatening situation usually associated with symptoms; high risk if serum lactate > 5 and especially > 10 mmol/L.

IADL (Instrumental Activities of Daily Living) scale

A.	Ability to use telephone		
	1.	Operates telephone on own initiative; looks up and dials numbers, etc.	1
	2.	Dials a few well-known numbers	1
	3.	Answers telephone but does not dial	1
	4.	Does not use telephone at all	0
В.	Sho	ppping	
	1.	Takes care of all shopping needs independently	1
	2.	Shops independently for small purchases	0
	3.	Needs to be accompanied on any shopping trip	0
	4.	Completely unable to shop	0
C.	Foo	od preparation	
	1.	Plans, prepares, and serves adequate meals independently	1
	2.	Prepares adequate meals if supplied with ingredients	0
	3.	Heats and serves prepared meals, or prepares meals but does not maintain adequate diet	0
	4.	Needs to have meals prepared and served	0
D.	Ho	usekeeping	
	1.	Maintains house alone or with occasional assistance (e.g., "heavy work domestic help")	1
	2.	Performs light daily tasks such as dishwashing, bed making	1
	3.	Performs light daily tasks but cannot maintain acceptable level of cleanliness	1
	4.	Needs help with all home maintenance tasks	1
	5.	Does not participate in any housekeeping tasks	0
E.	Lau	ındry	
	1.	Does personal laundry completely	1
	2.	Launders small items; rinses stockings, etc.	1
	3.	All laundry must be done by others	0
F.	Мо	de of transportation	
	1.	Travels independently on public transportation or drives own car	1
	2.	Arranges own travel via taxi, but does not otherwise use public transportation	1
	3.	Travels on public transportation when assisted or accompanied by another	1
	4.	Travel limited to taxi or automobile with assistance of another	0
	5.	Does not travel at all	0

G.	Responsibility for own medications		
	1.	Is responsible for taking medication in correct dosages at correct time	1
	2.	Takes responsibility if medication is prepared in advance in separate dosages	0
	3.	Is not capable of dispensing own medication	0
H.	Ability to handle finances		
	1.	Manages financial matters independently (budgets, writes cheques, pays rent and bills, goes to bank), collects and keeps track of income	1
	2.	Manages day-to-day purchases, but needs help with banking, major purchases, etc.	1
	3.	Incapable of handling money	0

Source: Lawton MP, Brody EM. Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and instrumental activities of daily living. Gerontologist. 1969;9(3):179-186.

Additional questions on job performance

I.	Unable to perform some aspects of previous job (not due to medical symptoms)	0	
L	 Reduced efficiency or productivity; or more errors or difficulties meeting expectations; or greater effort to perform the same activities	0	

Scoring (TOTAL): If patient receives a score of 0 for at least two of the items above (A-L), then he/she is considered to be functionally impaired

Source: Antinori A, Arendt G, Becker JT, et al. <u>Updated research nosology for HIV-associated neurocognitive disorders</u>. Neurology. 2007 Oct 30;69(18):1789-99.

Management of HIV patients with liver cirrhosis

	Management of hypervolaemic hyponatraemia	Management	strategy of hepatic encephalopathy (HE)
1 2	Fluid restriction to 1000-1500 mL/day If fluid restriction is not effective, oral tolvaptan may be used; it should be started in the hospital at a dose of 15 mg/day. This dose should be given for the first few	General management	Identity and treat precipitating factor (GI haemorrhage, infection, pre-renal azotemia, constipation, sedatives) Short-term (< 72h) protein restriction may
	days and then the dose should be titrated to 30 and 60 mg/day until normal values of serum sodium are reached	Specific therapy	be considered in severe HE Lactulose enemas (300 cm³ in 1 L of water) in patients who are unable to take
3	Serum sodium concentration should be monitored closely, particularly during the first days of treatment and whenever the dose of the drug is increased or there are changes in the clinical status of the patient		it orally or Lactulose 30 cm³ orally every 1-2h until bowel evacuation, then adjust to a dosage that will result in 2-3 formed bowel
4	Rapid increases in serum sodium concentration (greater than 8 mmol/day) should be avoided to prevent the potential occurrence of osmotic		movements per day (usually 15-30 cm³ orally b.i.d.) Lactulose can be discontinued once the
5	demyelination syndrome Patients may be discharged after serum sodium levels are stable and no further increase in the dose of the drug is required		precipitating factor has resolved
6	Treatment with drugs that are either potent inhibitors or inducers of the CYP3A should be avoided		
7	The duration of treatment with tolvapan is not known as its efficacy and safety have only been established in short-term studies (1 month)		

Management strategy in uncomplicated ascites

General	Treat ascites once other complications have been treated
management	Avoid NSAIDs
	 Norfloxacin prophylaxis (400 mg orally, q.d.) in patients with 1) an ascites protein level of < 1.5 gl/dL, 2) impaired renal function (serum creatinine level = 1.2 mg/dL, BUN = 25 mg/dL, 3) serum sodium level = 130mE g/L), or 4) severe liver failure (Child Pugh score = 9 points with serum bilirubin level = 3 mg/dL)
Specific	Salt restriction 1-2 g/day
management	Liberalize if restriction results in poor food intake
	• Large volume paracentesis as initial therapy only in patients with tense ascites
	Administer intravenous albumin (6-8 g/L of ascites removed)
Follow-up and	Adjustment of diuretic dosage should be performed every 4-7 days
goals	 Patients should be weighed at least weekly and BUN, creatinine, and electrolytes measured every 1-2 weeks while adjusting dosage
	 Double dosage of diuretics if: Weight loss < 2 kg a week and BUN, creatinine and electrolytes are stable
	 Halve the dosage of diuretics or discontinue if: Weight loss ≥ 0.5 kg/day or if there are abnormalities in BUN, creatinine, or electrolytes
	Maximum diuretic dosage is spironolactone (400 mg q.d.) and furosemide (160 mg q.d.)

Diagnosis and management of spontaneous bacterial peritonitis (SBP)

Diagnosis	Consider SBP and perform diagnostic paracentesis if:
	- Symptoms (abdominal pain, fever, chills)
	- Patient is in emergency room or admitted
	- Worsening renal function or encephalopathy
	SBP present if ascites PMN count > 250 cells/µL (if fluid bloody, subtract 1PMN per 250 RBC/µL)
General	Avoid therapeutic parenteses during active infection
management	Intravenous albumin (1 g/kg of body weight) if BUN > 30 mg/dL, creatinine > 1 mg/dL, bilirubin > 4 mg/dL,
	Repeat at day 3 if renal dysfunction persists
	Avoid aminoglycosides
Specific	Cefotaxime (2 g i.v. every 12h) or
management	Ceftriaxone (2 g every 24h) or
	Ampicillin/sulbactam (2 g/1g i.v. every 6h)
Follow-up	Continue therapy for 7 days
	Repeat diagnostic paracentesis at day 2
	If ascites PMN count decreases by at least 25% at day 2, intravenous therapy can be switched to oral therapy (quinolone such as ciprofloxacin or levofloxacin 250 mg p.o. b.i.d) to complete 7 days of therapy

References

Key references for further reading

Initiation of ART

- The HIV-CAUSAL Collaboration Ann Intern Med. 2011;154:509-515
- Severe et al. N Engl J Med 2010;363:257-65

Cancer screening methods

- Chin-Hong PV et al. Clin Infect Dis 2002;35:1127-1134.
- Lam JM et al. AIDS 2011, 25 (5): 635-642
- Sigel K, et al. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep. 2011 Sep;8(3):142-52.

Lifestyle interventions

- European Society of Cardiology Guideline Section: www.escardio.org/guidelines-surveys/esc-guidelines
- U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Counseling and interventions to prevent tobacco use and tobacco-caused disease in adults and pregnant women: U.S. Preventive Services Task Force reaffirmation recommendation statement. Ann Intern Med. 2009 Apr 21;150(8):551-5.
- Graham I, et al. European Society of Cardiology (ESC); European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention and Rehabilitation (EACPR); Council on Cardiovascular Nursing; European Association for Study of Diabetes (EASD); International Diabetes Federation Europe (IDF-Europe); European Stroke Initiative (EUSI); Society of Behavioural Medicine (ISBM); European Society of Hypertension (ESH); WONCA Europe (European Society of General Practice/Family Medicine); European Heart Network (EHN); European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). European guidelines on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice: full text. Fourth Joint Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and other societies on cardiovascular disease prevention in clinical practice (constituted by representatives of nine societies and by invited experts). Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2007 Sep;14 Suppl 2:S1-113.
- Fields-Gardner C and Campa A; J Am Diet Assoc. 2010 Jul;110(7):1105-19.
- Seagle HM et al. J Am Diet Assoc. 2009 Feb;109(2):330-46.

Prevention of CVD

- PILL Collaborative Group. PloS ONE May 2011; 6(5):e19857
- Friis-Møller et al. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2010 Oct;17(5):491-501.
- Nilsson. N Engl J Med 2010 Apr 29;362(17):1628-30

Hypertension: diagnosis and management

- Sever P. J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst. 2006 Jun;7(2):61-3.
- Lima et al. Atherosclerosis. 2009 May;204(1):229-32.
- Worm et al. Diabetes Care. 2009 Mar;32(3):474-80
- NICE clinical guideline 127 Hypertension: clinical management of primary hypertension in adults. August 2011. www.nice.org.uk/guidance/CG127

Type 2 diabetes: diagnosis and management

- www.easd.org
- www.who.int/diabetes/publications

Dyslipidaemia: management

- Aberg. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am. 2009 Mar;38(1):207-22.
- Ray. Cardiol Rev. 2009 Jan-Feb; 17(1):44-7.
- Kotler. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008 Sep 1;49 Suppl 2:S79-85.
- ESC/EAS Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemias. The Task Force for the management of dyslipidaemias of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS). Authors: Task Force Members. European Heart Journal (2011) 32, 1769-1818 (doi:10.1093/eurheart/ehr158).
- · Management of osteoporosis and vitamin D deficiency.
- Womack JA et al. PLoS One. 2011 Feb 16;6(2):e17217.
- Overton ET and Yin MT. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2011 Feb;13(1):83-93.
- McComsey GA et al. Clin Infect Dis. 2010 Oct 15;51(8):937-46. Review.
- Arora S et al. J Curr Osteoporos Rep. 2010 Dec;8(4):219-26. Review.

Depression: diagnosis and management

- Pence BW et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2007; 44:159.
- Mann JJ. N Engl J Med 2005; 353:1819.
- Mitchell AJ. Lancet 2006; 367:2041.
- NICE. Depression: management of depression in primary and secondary care. Clinical Guideline 23. London: National Institute for Clinical Excellence 2004.
- Thompson A et al. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:1305.

Liver disease

- Cárdenas and Ginès. Gut 2011;60:412-421
- Jelic & Sotiropoulos. Annals of Oncology 21 (Supplement 5): v59-v64, 2010
- Dong and Saab. Gastroenterology & Hepatology. March 2009: 5(3):191-197

Hyperlactataemia: diagnosis, prevention and management

- Van Griensven. Clin Inf Dis. 2007 Aug 15;45(4):514-7.
- Stead et al. Antivir Ther. 2008;13(7):937-43.
- Arenas-Pinto et al. Sex Transm Infect. 2003 Aug;79(4):340-3.

Screening for tenofovir renal toxicity

- Alsauskas et al. Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2011 12(5):691-704
- James et al. Lancet 2010; 375:1296-309
- Mocroft et al. AIDS 2010, Jul 17;24(11):1667-78

Lipodystrophy: prevention and management

- Calmy et al. Antivir Ther. 2009;14(2):165-79.
- Wohl et al. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2008 49 Suppl 2:S93-S100.
- Guaraldi et al. AIDS 2011, Jan 2;25(1):1-12

Neurocognitive impairment: diagnosis and management

- Heaton et al. Neurology 2010; 75;2087
- Samioni et al. AIDS 2010, 24:1243-1250
- McArthur and Brew. AIDS 2010, 24:1367-1370
- Schouten et al. AIDS 2011, 25:561-575
- Letendre et al. Anti-Inflammatory & Anti-Allergy Agents in Medicinal Chemistry, 2009, 8:169-183

Drug dependency and drug addiction

- Strang et al. BMJ 2010;341:c4851
- Metha et al. J Infect Dis 2011 Mar 1;203(5):587-94
- Wedam et al. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(22):2469-2475

Sexual dysfunction

- Hatzimouratidis K et al. European Association of Urology. Eur Urol. 2010;57:804–14. (Link to www.uroweb.org/fileadmin/tx eauguidelines/2010/Trans/2010 Guidelines on Male Sexual Dysfunction.pdf)
- Montorsi F et al. J Sex Med. 2010;7:3572–3588. (Link to www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21040491)
- Fakoya A, Lamba H, Mackie N, et al. British HIV Association, BASHH and FSRH guidelines for the management of the sexual and reproductive health of people living with HIV infection 2008. HIV Med. 2008;9:681–720. (Link to www.bhiva.org/documents/Guidelines/Sexual%20health/Sexual-reproductive-health.pdf)

Conflicts of interest

The following panel members have no conflicts of interest to report:

- · Sanjay Bhagani
- Mark Bower
- Raffaele Bruno
- Nathan Clumeck
- · Simon Collins
- Juliet Compston
- · Antonella d'Arminio Monforte
- · Stéphane De Wit
- · Nikos Dedes
- · Christoph Fux
- · José Gatell
- · Giovanni Guaraldi
- Maxime Journiac
- Karine Lacombe
- Jens D. Lundgren
- · Esteban Martinez
- · Socrates Papapoulos
- · Lars Peters
- · Neil Poulter
- Anton Pozniak
- · Massimo Puoti
- · François Raffi
- · Vicente Soriano
- · Cristina Tural
- · Alessandra Vigano
- · Alan Winston

The following panel members have reported receiving support:

- José Arribas
- Manuel Battegay
- · Georg Behrens
- · Paola Cinque
- · Gilbert Deray
- · Renaud du Pasquier
- · Diego García
- Anna Maria Geretti
- Christine Katlama
- Patrick Mallon
- · Stefan Mauss
- Peter Reiss
- · Jürgen Rockstroh
- · Ian Williams

Declarations of conflicts of interest provided by the panel members are available for consultation upon request from info@eacsparis.org



Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière Pavillon Laveran 47, boulevard de l'hôpital 75651 - Paris Cedex 13 T. 33 1 44 24 17 96 - F. 33 1 53 61 13 97

E-mail: <u>info@eacsparis.org</u> <u>www.europeanaidsclinicalsociety.org</u>

The European AIDS Clinical Society (EACS) Guidelines are freely downloadable from www.europeanaidsclinicalsociety.org.

A declaration of potential conflicts of interest of the panel members can be found at the same address.

English version