Conducting and managing randomised controlled trials (RCTs)
• We often wish to investigate the efficacy of new treatments and interventions on patient outcomes

• In this session, we shall consider a study design commonly used to answer such questions – Randomised Controlled Trials

• The following session will consider when it is appropriate to use other types of studies (observational studies)
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Example – the need for a control group

• A study assessed the effect of thyroxine sodium on new clinic patients with hypothyroidism. 139 patients were treated and followed-up.

• 22% of patients had improvement or resolution of symptoms and the mean number of clinical features of disease decreased from 13.3 to 3.0 (p<0.0001)

Skinner; J Nutr Environ Med; 2000; 10(2); 115-124
The need for a control group

• Conditions may improve with time, and this improvement cannot necessarily be attributed to treatment

• ‘Hawthorn effect’: observation that patients in clinical trials generally do better than similar patients on same treatment (closer monitoring, clear treatment plan, enthusiastic team, etc.)

• Therefore, a control group gives us the opportunity to see ‘what would have happened without the new intervention’
Example – the need for randomisation

- **Aim**: To evaluate the outcome (rate of post-operative complications) of caesarean delivery performed by assistant medical officers with that performed by specialists in obstetrics and gynaecology

- **Method**: Outcome of 958 caesarean sections performed by assistant medical officers compared with 113 performed by specialists

- **Outcome**: No differences were observed

Pereira; Br J Obs Gynae; 1996; 103(6); 508-12
QUESTIONS?
The need for randomisation

- Patient allocation to new intervention or control groups is determined purely by chance
- Thus, any differences between the different arms of the trial are due to chance alone
- This includes both known and unknown factors
- Thus, provided individuals are treated similarly during the study period, any differences in outcome between the two groups can be attributed to the intervention
### Table 1. Characteristics of the Patients at Baseline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Immediate-Initiation Group (N = 2326)</th>
<th>Deferred-Initiation Group (N = 2359)</th>
<th>All Patients (N = 4685)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age (IQR) — yr</td>
<td>36 (29–44)</td>
<td>36 (29–44)</td>
<td>36 (29–44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex — no. (%)</td>
<td>624 (26.8)</td>
<td>633 (26.8)</td>
<td>1,257 (26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race or ethnic group — no. (%) †</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>198 (8.5)</td>
<td>190 (8.1)</td>
<td>388 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>702 (30.2)</td>
<td>708 (30.0)</td>
<td>1,410 (30.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latino or Hispanic</td>
<td>320 (13.8)</td>
<td>318 (13.5)</td>
<td>638 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>1,015 (43.6)</td>
<td>1,071 (45.4)</td>
<td>2,086 (44.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>91 (3.9)</td>
<td>72 (3.1)</td>
<td>163 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geographical region — no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>499 (21.5)</td>
<td>501 (21.2)</td>
<td>1,000 (21.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>179 (7.7)</td>
<td>177 (7.5)</td>
<td>356 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

INSIGHT START Study Group; N Eng J Med 2015
Randomised Controlled Trials (RCTs)

- Experimental, longitudinal, prospective
- Randomised – ensures that treatment groups are similar at start of trial; any differences are due to chance only
- Controlled – control group allows us to conclude that any improvement in outcome is due to the test treatment rather than some other factor
- Comparison is usually between a new regimen/intervention and an existing standard of care or placebo
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Types of RCTs

• **Parallel group:** each patient is randomised to receive only one of the two different strategies.

• **Crossover trial:** each patient receives first one treatment strategy then the other, but the treatment order is randomised.

• **Cluster randomised:** each ‘cluster’ of patients (GP surgeries, outpatient clinics) randomised to receive one of the two different treatment strategies.
Parallel design trials

Randomisation

New intervention

Control group

Compare treatment groups

Starting point

Present time

Follow individuals
Example – Parallel Group trial

• Trial evaluating when to start ART among HIV-positive individuals who are ART-naïve with CD4 count >500 cells/mm$^3$

• Randomised to:
  – Initiate ART immediately following randomisation OR
  – Defer ART until CD4 count declines <350 cells/mm$^3$ or AIDS develops

• Endpoints: Serious AIDS, death from AIDS, serious non-AIDS and death not attributable to AIDS

INSIGHT START Study Group; N Eng J Med 2015
Cross-over trials

Randomisation → New Intervention → Control group → New Intervention

Starting point → Present time → Follow individuals → Future time

Wash out
Example – Crossover trial

- Safety and acceptability of Reality condom for MSM
- Sero-concordant couples randomised to:
  - Reality condoms for 6 weeks, followed by latex condoms for 6 weeks
  OR
  - Latex condoms for 6 weeks, followed by Reality condoms for 6 weeks
- Endpoints: frequency of slippage with removal, pain or discomfort on use, rectal bleeding, willingness to use in future

Renzi; AIDS; 2003; 17; 727-731
Crossover trial

- Crossover trials are particularly useful for short term outcomes in chronic conditions.
- The treatment must be one that does not permanently alter the disease or condition under study.
- The main limitation of a crossover trial is that the effect of the first treatment administered may carry over and alter subsequent responses.
Cluster randomised trials

Randomisation of Clinic/GP surgery

New Intervention

All patients at clinic/surgery receive new intervention

Control group

All patients at clinic/surgery receive control
Example – Cluster randomised trial

- RCT of malaria prevention in Gambia
- 70 villages were randomised to:
  - Long lasting insecticidal nets (LLIN)
  OR
  - LLIN + indoor residual spraying
- Endpoints:
  - Incidence of clinical malaria assessed by passive case detection in >7,000 children
  - Number of Anopheles gambiae sensu lato mosquitoes collected per light trap per night

Pinder; Lancet; 2015; 385(9976); 1436-1446
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Trial populations

- Explicit and objective inclusion and exclusion criteria are required for any RCT
- Narrow and restrictive inclusion criteria can allow us to focus on people most likely to benefit from treatment, and reduce variability in the outcome
- However, we want the included participants to be representative as far as possible of those who may receive treatment in the future
Example – Trial populations

• Does immediate ART result in a reduction in new AIDS events, non-AIDS events and death compared to deferred ART?

• Study population: HIV-positive men who have sex with men attending a large teaching hospital in London, UK

• Generalisable to all HIV-positive individuals?
Example – Trial populations

- Does immediate ART result in a reduction in new AIDS events, non-AIDS events and death compared to deferred ART?

- Inclusion criteria: age ≥18 years, Karnofsky performance score ≥ 80, no previous AIDS, no previous serious non-AIDS, not currently pregnant or breast feeding

- Generalisable to all HIV-positive individuals?

INSIGHT START Study Group; N Eng J Med 2015
Treatment allocation

- A person’s treatment allocation should not be known before they are entered into a trial
- If there is no concealment of treatment allocation, this may influence the decision to recruit, leading to imbalances
Blinding

• Bias can occur if a patient, treatment team, assessor are aware of treatment allocation
  – **Patient**: psychological effect, adherence to treatment
  – **Clinical team**: treatment modifications, additional treatments, intensity of examination
  – **Assessor**: recording of responses to treatment and adverse events

• The extent of the bias may depend on the intervention and the nature of the outcome measure
Blinding

• Blinding is not always possible, but in most trials some element can be introduced

• **Double-blind**: neither patient nor clinical team know which treatment patient is receiving

• **Single-blind**: only patient does not know which treatment s/he is receiving

• Blinding is particularly important for subjective endpoints
Loss to follow-up

• The validity of trial results are dependent on complete follow-up of randomised patients

• All patients who were randomised should be accounted for when the results are reported

• Ideally, all patients who were assessed for eligibility should be accounted for, as this may impact on the generalisability of the trial

• Intent-to-treat approaches should be used to account for missing data
CONSORT flow diagram

Enrollment
- Assessed for eligibility (n = ...)
  - Excluded (n = ...)
    - Not meeting inclusion criteria (n = ...)
    - Refused to participate (n = ...)
    - Other reasons (n = ...)
  - Randomised (n = ...)

Allocation
- Allocated to intervention (n = ...)
  - Received allocated intervention (n = ...)
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (give reasons) (n = ...)
- Allocated to intervention (n = ...)
  - Received allocated intervention (n = ...)
  - Did not receive allocated intervention (give reasons) (n = ...)

Follow up
- Lost to follow up (n = ...) (give reasons)
  - Discontinued intervention (n = ...) (give reasons)
- Lost to follow up (n = ...) (give reasons)
  - Discontinued intervention (n = ...) (give reasons)

Analysis
- Analysed (n = ...)
  - Excluded from analysis (give reasons) (n = ...)
- Analysed (n = ...)
  - Excluded from analysis (give reasons) (n = ...)
Determining the study sample size

• Sample size is an important component of study design because we require:
  – Large enough numbers to ensure we are likely to be able to detect a difference between treatment arms should one exist
  – Small enough that we are not unnecessarily exposing individuals to inferior treatments and not wasting resources

• We can then use published formulae to calculate the required sample size – these are widely available

• See session on Thursday afternoon
Primary Endpoint

- Defined in advance (essential for power calculations)
- Should address the ‘primary aim’ of the trial
- Should have a good chance of discriminating between the different treatment arms
- Should have clinical/biological relevance
- Should be appropriate for the population included in the trial
- Should be mindful of regulatory requirements
Example: Primary Endpoint in START

- Primary Endpoint (Composite outcome):
  - Serious AIDS-related event* or death from AIDS
  - Serious non-AIDS-related event~ or any death not attributable to AIDS

*1993 CDC definition excluding non-fatal HSV and oesophageal candidiasis and including Hodgkin’s lymphoma);
~ CVD (MI, stroke or coronary revascularisation), ESRD (starting dialysis or transplantation, decompensated liver disease, NADC (excluding basal-cell or squamous-cell skin cancer)

INSIGHT START Study Group; N Eng J Med 2015
Secondary Endpoint

• All clinical trial protocols should state one (sometimes two) primary endpoint
• Main conclusions should be based on the results from this endpoint
• Pre-defined secondary endpoints can also provide supportive data
Example: Secondary Endpoints in START

- **Primary Endpoint (Composite outcome):**
  - Serious AIDS-related event* or death from AIDS
  - Serious non–AIDS-related event~ or any death not attributable to AIDS

- **Secondary Endpoints:**
  - Major components of primary endpoint
  - Serious AIDS-related events
  - Serious non–AIDS-related events
  - Death from any cause
  - Grade 4 events
  - Unscheduled hospitalizations for reasons other than AIDS

INSIGHT START Study Group; N Eng J Med 2015
Trial endpoints in HIV

- RCTs in the HIV setting can use a number of different primary endpoints
  - Clinical: AIDS event, death, serious non-AIDS event
  - Immunological: CD4 > 500 cells/mm³, change in CD4
  - Virological: snapshot, time to VL < 50 copies/ml
  - Other: Treatment switches, adherence, quality of life
  - Composite: Time to loss of virologic response (TLOVR)

- Each has advantages and disadvantages, and we should take these into consideration when we interpret the study results
How do we account for missing data?

- **Missing=Failure analysis (M=F):**
  - Those lost to follow-up are considered as virological failures from that time point onwards
  - Those with missing study visits are considered as virological failures at that time point

- **Missing=Excluded analysis (M=E):**
  - Those lost to follow-up are excluded from analyses from that time point onwards
  - Those with missing study visits are excluded from analyses at that time point
How do we account for treatment changes?

- **Intent-to-treat analysis (ITT):** all individuals are included in analysis
  - Switch=Failure (S=F): individuals who make drug changes are considered as virological failures
  - Switch=Ignored (S=I): drug changes are ignored; patients are categorised according to virological response

- **On treatment analysis (OT):** only individuals who complete the study and adhere to the protocol are included
  - Also known as per-protocol analysis
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Where to go for guidance

• The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) Group was set up to ensure transparency in the reporting of RCTs.

• Their main output is the CONSORT Statement which is an ‘evidence based, minimum set of recommendations for reporting RCTs’.

• It includes a checklist and flow diagram, which can be very helpful both for conducting and appraising RCTs.

• [www.consort-statement.org](http://www.consort-statement.org)
The CONSORT checklist for reporting and appraising RCTs

**Table. CONSORT 2010 Checklist of Information to Include When Reporting a Randomized Trial**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section/Topic</th>
<th>Item Number</th>
<th>Checklist Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Title and abstract</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Identification as a randomized trial in the title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1b</td>
<td>Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (for specific guidance, see CONSORT for abstracts [21, 31])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background and objectives</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>Scientific background and explanation of rationale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>Specific objectives or hypotheses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trial design</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial), including allocation ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b</td>
<td>Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>4a</td>
<td>Eligibility criteria for participants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4b</td>
<td>Settings and locations where the data were collected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interventions</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td>6a</td>
<td>Completely defined prespecified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6b</td>
<td>Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Benefits and Limitations of RCTs

• RCTs are the ‘gold standard’ method to investigate the effects new treatments and interventions

• This is because randomisation and blinding enables us to obtain an unbiased estimate of how well the new treatment works compared to the standard of care treatment

• However, RCTs also have a number of limitations, which will be discussed in the next session
Topics for this year

• Co-infections / Co-morbidities
• Long term management
• Cascade of care
• Diagnosis and initiation of treatment
Organisation of working groups: Monday

- Select one topic within the main orientation of the group for further development (60 minutes*)
- Develop topic into a formal research question (30 minutes*)
- Discuss pros and cons of different study designs (30 minutes*)

* For guidance only
Organisation of working groups: Tuesday

– Prepare protocol (120 minutes*)
  • Identify the appropriate study population
  • Identify and define the key outcomes
  • Define the intervention (or key exposure variables)
  • Identify potential confounders (if applicable)
  • Determine approach for enrolling and following study subjects

* For guidance only
Organisation of working groups: Thursday

- Sample size and other statistical issues
- Prepare presentation
  - 1 presenter for each subgroup

* For guidance only